

THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY
Financial statements
for the year ended 30 June 2015

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

General Information

Legal form of entity

Mayoral committee

Executive Mayor

Councillors

District Municipality (DC19)

Mrs. M Vilakazi

Dr. Mzangwa (Resigned 03/07/2014)

Mr. MM Radebe (Chief Whip)

Mr. M Maduna (Speaker)

Ms. M Motloung (MPAC Chair)

Mr. G Bengell (Elected 04/09/2014

Mr. T Mkhwanazi (Resigned 30/07/2014)

Mr. G Mokotso (Elected 04/09/2014)

Mr. M Mamba

Mr. P Mavundla

Mrs. J Radebe

Mr. CJ Makhoba

Mr. S Motaung

Ms M Visagie

Ms. MR Naidoo

Ms. Z Tshabalala

Ms. N Taylor

Mr. K Tsoene

Ms. C Msibi

Mr. R Ndlebe

Mr. CHE Badenhorst

Mr. I Vries

Mr. S Nkopane

Mr. L Kere

Ms. V Mohala

Mr. R Bath

Ms. M Nakedi

Ms. P Sibeko

Mr. SE Tshbalala

Ms. TN Masiteng

Ms. Y Jacobs

Mr. L Mohlabi - Deceased

Ms. E Mohoaladi

Ms. O Tolofi

Mr. T Thebe

Mr. M Lebesa

Ms. P Lebesana

Mr. T Mosikidi

Ms. L Klynhans (Resigned 04/09/2014)

Mr. PB Beukes (Elected 30/10/2014)

Ms. MA Mokoena

Ms. HE Mokoena

Mr. TJ Tseki

Mr. T Ramaele

Mr. MA Nhlapo

Mr. PB Matsunyane (Deceased)

Ms. T Tigeli (29/05/2015)

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

General Information

Grading of local authority Grade 11

Accounting Officer Ms. TPM Lebenya (Appointed 1 March 2015)

Mr. SK Khote (Acting Accounting Officer 01 November 2014)

Mr. B Molotsi (resigned: 3 November 2014)

Chief Finance Officer (CFO) Mr. HI Lebusa

Business address 1 Mampoi Street

Old Parliament Building

Witsieshoek

9870

Postal address Private Bag X810

Witsieshoek

9870

Bankers ABSA

FNB

Auditors Auditor-General of South Africa

Attorneys Balden, Vogel & Vennote Inc

Sunil Narian Inc

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Index

The reports and statements set out below comprise the financial statements presented to the provincial legislature:

Index	Page
Accounting Officer's Responsibilities and Approval	5
Audit Committee Report	6
Report of the Auditor General	7
Accounting Officer's Report	8
Statement of Financial Position	9
Statement of Financial Performance	10
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	11
Cash Flow Statement	12
Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts	13
Appropriation Statement	14
Accounting Policies	15 - 38
Notes to the Financial Statements	39 - 62
Appendixes:	
Appendix A: Schedule of External loans	63
Appendix B: Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment	64
Appendix C: Segmental analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment	65
Appendix D: Segmental Statement of Financial Performance	66
Appendix E(1): Actual versus Budget (Revenue and Expenditure)	67
Appendix E(2): Actual versus Budget (Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment)	68
Appendix F: Disclosure of Grants and Subsidies in terms of the Municipal Finance	69
Management Act	
Appendix G(1): Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure by standard	70
classification	
Appendix G(2): Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure by municipal vote)	71
Appendix G(3): Budgeted Financial Performance (revenue and expenditure)	72
Appendix G(4): Budgeted Capital Expenditure by vote, standard classification and funding	73
Appendix G(5): Budgeted Cash Flows	74

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Officer's Responsibilities and Approval

The accounting officer is required by the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003), to maintain adequate accounting records and is responsible for the content and integrity of the financial statements and related financial information included in this report. It is the responsibility of the accounting officer to ensure that the financial statements fairly present the state of affairs of the municipality as at the end of the financial year and the results of its operations and cash flows for the period then ended. The external auditors are engaged to express an independent opinion on the financial statements and was given unrestricted access to all financial records and related data.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

The financial statements are based upon appropriate accounting policies consistently applied and supported by reasonable and prudent judgements and estimates.

The accounting officer acknowledges that she is ultimately responsible for the system of internal financial control established by the municipality and place considerable importance on maintaining a strong control environment. To enable the accounting officer to meet these responsibilities, the accounting officer sets standards for internal control aimed at reducing the risk of error or deficit in a cost effective manner. The standards include the proper delegation of responsibilities within a clearly defined framework, effective accounting procedures and adequate segregation of duties to ensure an acceptable level of risk. These controls are monitored throughout the municipality and all employees are required to maintain the highest ethical standards in ensuring the municipality's business is conducted in a manner that in all reasonable circumstances is above reproach. The focus of risk management in the municipality is on identifying, assessing, managing and monitoring all known forms of risk across the municipality. While operating risk cannot be fully eliminated, the municipality endeavours to minimise it by ensuring that appropriate infrastructure, controls, systems and ethical behaviour are applied and managed within predetermined procedures and constraints.

The accounting officer is of the opinion, based on the information and explanations given by management, that the system of internal control provides reasonable assurance that the financial records may be relied on for the preparation of the financial statements. However, any system of internal financial control can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance against material misstatement or deficit.

The accounting officer is reviewed the municipality's cash flow forecast for the year to 30 June 2016 and, in the light of this review and the current financial position, she is satisfied that the municipality has or has access to adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future.

The municipality is wholly dependent on the Government Grant for continued funding of operations. The financial statements are prepared on the basis that the municipality is a going concern and that the Government of the Republic has neither the intention nor the need to liquidate or curtail materially the scale of the municipality.

Although the accounting officer are primarily responsible for the financial affairs of the municipality, they are supported by the municipality's external auditors.

The external auditors are responsible for independently reviewing and reporting on the municipality's financial statements. The financial statements have been examined by the municipality's external auditors and their report is presented on page 6.

The financial statements set out on pages 7 to 61, which have been prepared on the going concern basis, were approved by the accounting officer on 31 August 2015 and were signed on its behalf by:

TPM Lebenya ∕ Accounting Officer

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Audit Committee Report

We are pleased to present our report for the financial year ended 30 June 2015. We submit this report in line with the provision of section 166(2) of the Municipal Finance Management Act for Council's consideration.

Audit committee members and attendance

The audit committee consists of the members listed hereunder and should meet x times per annum as per its approved terms of reference. During the current year x number of meetings were held.

Name of memberNumber of meetings attendedMr. G.A Ntsala (Chairperson)5Mrs. S.D Lebeko (Member)2Mr. T.E Femele (Member)5Mr. M.K Mojatau (Member)5

Audit committee responsibility

The audit committee reports that it has complied with its responsibilities arising from section 166(2)(a) of the MFMA.

The audit committee also reports that it has adopted appropriate formal terms of reference as its audit committee charter, has regulated its affairs in compliance with this charter and has discharged all its responsibilities as contained therein.

The effectiveness of internal control

The quality of in year management and monthly/quarterly reports submitted in terms of the MFMA and the Division of Revenue Act.

Evaluation of financial statements

The audit committee has:

- reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements to be included in the annual report, with the Auditor-General and the Accounting Officer;
- reviewed the Auditor-General of South Africa's management report and management's response thereto;
- reviewed changes in accounting policies and practices (delete if not applicable);
- reviewed the entities compliance with legal and regulatory provisions;
- reviewed significant adjustments resulting from the audit.

The audit committee concur with and accept the Auditor-General of South Africa's report the financial statements, and are of the opinion that the audited financial statements should be accepted and read together with the report of the Auditor-General of South Africa.

Internal audit

The audit committee is satisfied that the internal audit function is operating effectively and that it has addressed the risks pertinent to the municipality and its audits.

Auditor-General of South Africa

The audit committee has met with the Auditor-General of South Africa to ensure that there are no unresolved issue	es.
---	-----

Chairperson of the Audit Committee	
Date:	



Report of the Auditor General

To the Provincial Legislature of THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY

Report on the financial statements

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY which comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2015, statement of financial performance, statement of changes in net assets and cash flow statement for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes, and the [directors' / accounting officer's / accounting authority's] report, as set out on pages 7 to 61.

Responsibility of the Accounting Officer for the financial statements

The accounting officer is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with [the applicable reporting framework/basis of accounting] [and in the manner required by the [Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999) (PFMA)] [Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003) (MFMA)] [Auditor-General audit circular 1 of 2005], and in the manner required by the Companies Act of South Africa [any applicable enabling legislation]. This responsibility includes:

- designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error;
- selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies; and
- making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Responsibility of the Auditor-General

As required by [section 188 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 read with section 4 of the Public Audit Act, 2004 (Act No. 25 of 2004) (PAA)] [and section XX of any applicable legislation], my responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing. Those standards require that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control.

An audit also includes evaluating the:

- appropriateness of accounting policies used;
- · reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management; and
- overall presentation of the financial statements.

Paragraph 11 et seq. of the Statement of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice, GRAP 1 Presentation of Financial Statements requires that financial reporting by entities shall provide information on whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the legally adopted budget. As the budget reporting standard is still in the process of being developed, I have determined that my audit of any disclosures made by [name of entity] in this respect will be limited to reporting on non-compliance with this disclosure requirement.

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

Auditor-General of South Africa

31 August 2015

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Officer's Report

The accounting officer submits her report for the year ended 30 June 2015.

1. Review of activities

Main business and operations

The municipality is engaged in rendering support to the local municipalities within the district and operates principally in South Africa.

2. Going concern

The financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies applicable to a going concern. This basis presumes that funds will be available to finance future operations and that the realisation of assets and settlement of liabilities, contingent obligations and commitments will occur in the ordinary course of business.

3. Subsequent events

The accounting officer is not aware of any matter or circumstance arising since the end of the financial year.

4. Accounting policies

The financial statements are prepared in accordance with the South African Statements of Generally Recognised Accounting Practices (GRAP) issued by the Accounting Standards Board as the prescribed framework by National Treasury.

5. Accounting Officer

The accounting officer of the municipality during the year and to the date of this report is:

Name TPM Lebenya

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Statement of Financial Position as at 30 June 2015

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	2015	2014
Assets			
Current Assets			
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	4	1 948 389	1 243 464
Receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies	5	7 212	7 212
VAT receivable	6	5 006 459	4 928 117
Cash and cash equivalents	7	6 516 365	27 199 475
		13 478 425	33 378 268
Non-Current Assets			
Property, plant and equipment	8	10 989 620	6 927 525
ntangible assets	9	2 938 975	983 231
	=======================================	13 928 595	7 910 756
Total Assets	_	27 407 020	41 289 024
Liabilities			
Current Liabilities			
Finance lease obligation	10	430 509	428 496
Payables from exchange transactions	11	33 676 049	27 345 412
Inspent conditional grants	12	214 983	
	_	34 321 541	27 773 908
Ion-Current Liabilities			
Finance lease obligation	10	2 187 326	978 555
Provision long service awards		2 206 000	1 968 000
	=	4 393 326	2 946 555
otal Liabilities	\ <u></u>	38 714 867	30 720 463
let Assets	h 	(11 307 847)	10 568 561
accumulated (deficit) / surplus	-	(11 307 846)	10 568 558

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Statement of Financial Performance

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	2015	2014
Revenue			
Revenue from exchange transactions			
Sundry income		56 740	248 054
Interest received - investment	15	2 069 979	2 473 286
Total revenue from exchange transactions	_	2 126 719	2 721 340
Revenue from non-exchange transactions			
Transfer revenue			
Government grants and subsidies	14	108 834 496	86 206 986
Total revenue	39	110 961 215	88 928 326
Expenditure			
Employee related costs	21	(47 947 975)	(40 950 685)
Remuneration of councillors		(9 366 239)	(9 043 926)
Depreciation and amortisation	18	(2 810 009)	(1 154 121)
Debt impairment	17		(48 155)
Finance costs	19	(371 679)	(41 997)
Lease rentals on operating lease	25	(394 153)	(1 135 592)
Repairs and maintenance	22	(1 694 438)	(1 490 067)
Contracted services	37	(2 675 912)	(2 208 836)
Grants and subsidies paid	38	(17 981 884)	(19 368 024)
General Expenses	20	(49 665 472)	(18 367 053)
Total expenditure	_	(132 907 761)	(93 808 456)
Operating deficit	0	(21 946 546)	(4 880 130)
Gain on disposal of assets and liabilities	S==	70 130	15 848
Deficit for the year	=	(21 876 416)	(4 864 282)

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Figures in Rand	Accumulated (deficit) / surplus	Total net assets
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments	17 359 985	17 359 985
Correction of errors	(1 927 146)	(1 927 146)
Balance at 01 July 2013 as restated Changes in net assets	15 432 839	15 432 839
Surplus / (Deficit) for the year	(4 864 281)	(4 864 281)
Total changes	(4 864 281)	(4 864 281)
Balance at 01 July 2014 Changes in net assets	10 568 572	10 568 572
Surplus / (Deficit) for the year	(21 876 418)	(21 876 418)
Total changes	(21 876 418)	(21 876 418)
Balance at 30 June 2015	(11 307 846)	(11 307 846)
Note(s)	<u> </u>	

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Cash Flow Statement

Figures in Rand	Note(s)	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities			
Receipts			
Grants		108 372 228	83 200 049
Interest income		2 069 979	2 473 286
Other receipts		56 740	51 803
	-	110 498 947	85 725 138
Payments			
Employee costs		(56 739 894)	(49 732 534)
Suppliers		(66 152 243)	(47 023 137)
Other payments		(371 318)	(41 997)
		(123 263 455)	(96 797 668)
Net cash flows from operating activities	23	(12 764 508)	(11 072 530)
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	8	(6 553 416)	(5 963 532)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	8	` 129 208 [´]	38 367
Purchase of other intangible assets	9	(2 333 500)	(288 764)
Net cash flows from investing activities	\ <u>-</u>	(8 757 708)	(6 213 929)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Finance lease payments	:	839 106	1 171 649
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(20 683 110)	(16 114 810)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		27 199 475 [°]	43 314 285
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	7	6 516 365	27 199 475

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts

	Approved	Adjustments	Final Budget	Actual amounts	Difference	Reference
	budget	Adjustments	i mai budget	on comparable		Reference
Figures in Rand				basis	budget and actual	
Tigures in Ivano					actual	
Statement of Financial Perform	anaa					
Revenue	ance					
Revenue from exchange transactions						
Sundry income	27 968 240	-	27 968 240	56 740	(27 911 500)	39.1
Interest received - investment	2 544 000	_	2 544 000	2 069 979	(474 021)	39.2
Total revenue from exchange	30 512 240	-	30 512 240	2 126 719	(28 385 521)	
transactions						
Revenue from non-exchange						
transactions						
Transfer revenue						
Government grants & subsidies	108 865 156	_	108 865 156	108 834 496	(30 660)	
Total revenue	139 377 396	-	139 377 396	110 961 215	(28 416 181)	
Expenditure						
Personnel	(46 667 886)	-	(46 667 886)	(47 947 975)	(1 280 089)	
Remuneration of councillors	(8 441 089)	-	(8 441 089)	(9 366 239)	(925 150)	39.3
Depreciation and amortisation	(1 751 289)	-	(1 751 289)	(2 810 009)	(1 058 720)	39.4
Finance costs	(400 000)	-	(400 000)	(0, , 0, 0)	28 321	
Lease rentals on operating lease	(1 184 034)	-	(1 184 034)	(394 153)	789 881	39.5
Repairs and maintenance	(1 480 000)	-	(1 480 000)	(1 694 438)	(214 438)	39.6
Contracted Services	(3 041 913)	-	(3 041 913)	(2 675 912)	366 001	39.7
Grants and subsidies paid	(15 887 865)	-	(15 887 865)	((2 094 019)	39.8
General Expenses	(54 156 097)		(54 156 097)	(49 665 474)	4 490 623	
Total expenditure	(133 010 173)	-	(133 010 173)	(132 907 763)	102 410	
Operating deficit	6 367 223		6 367 223	(21 946 548)	(28 313 771)	
Gain on disposal of assets and liabilities	-	_	-	70 130	70 130	
(Deficit) / Surplus	6 367 223		6 367 223	(21 876 418)	(28 243 641)	
Actual Amount on Comparable Basis as Presented in the Budget and Actual Comparative Statement	6 367 223	-	6 367 223	(21 876 418)	(28 243 641)	

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts

	Approved budget	Adjustments	Final Budget	Actual amounts on comparable	between final	Reference
Figures in Rand				basis	budget and actual	
Statement of Financial Position						
Assets						
Current Assets						
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	1 142 743	-	1 142 743	1 948 389	805 646	39.9
Receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies	-	-	-	7 212	7 212	
VAT receivable	- 450,000	-	9 450 000	5 006 459	5 006 459	39.10
Cash and cash equivalents	9 450 000			6 516 365	(2 933 635)	39.11
;=	10 592 743		10 592 743	13 478 425	2 885 682	
Non-Current Assets						
Property, plant and equipment	11 413 000	-	11 413 000	10 989 620	(423 380)	
Intangible assets	400 000	<u> </u>	400 000	2 938 975	2 538 975	39.12
_	11 813 000	_	11 813 000	13 928 595	2 115 595	
Total Assets	22 405 743		22 405 743	27 407 020	5 001 277	
Liabilities						
Current Liabilities						
Finance lease obligation	579 000	-	579 000	430 509	(148 491)	39.13
Payables from exchange transactions	22 548 256	-	22 548 256	33 676 047	11 127 791	39.14
Unspent conditional grants	-	-	-	214 983	214 983	39.15
=	23 127 256	-	23 127 256	34 321 539	11 194 283	
Non-Current Liabilities						
Finance lease obligation	2 491 000	-	2 491 000	2 187 326	(303 674)	39.13
Provision long service awards	1 968 000	-	1 968 000	2 206 000	238 000	39.16
=	4 459 000	-	4 459 000	4 393 326	(65 674)	
Total Liabilities	27 586 256		27 586 256	38 714 865	11 128 609	
Net Assets	(5 180 513)	-	(5 180 513)	(11 307 845)	(6 127 332)	
Net Assets						
Net Assets Attributable to Owners of Controlling Entity						
Reserves Accumulated (deficit) / surplus			(5 180 513)		(6 127 332)	

The accounting policies on pages 14 to 37 and the notes on pages 38 to 61 form an integral part of the financial statements.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1. Presentation of Financial Statements

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP), issued by the Accounting Standards Board in accordance with Section 122(3) of the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003).

These financial statements have been prepared on an accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with historical cost convention as the basis of measurement, unless specified otherwise. They are presented in South African Rand and the amounts have been rounded off to the nearest rand.

A summary of the significant accounting policies, which have been consistently applied in the preparation of these financial statements, are disclosed below.

These accounting policies are consistent with the previous period.

1.1 Presentation currency

These financial statements are presented in South African Rand, which is the functional currency of the municipality.

1.2 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts represented in the financial statements and related disclosures. Use of available information and the application of judgement is inherent in the formation of estimates. Actual results in the future could differ from these estimates which may be material to the financial statements. The estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognised in the period in which the estimate is revised if the revision affects only that period, or in the period of the revision and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods. Significant judgements include:

Receivables from non-exchange transaction

The municipality assesses its trade receivables and loans and receivables for impairment at the end of each reporting period. In determining whether an impairment loss should be recorded in surplus or deficit, management makes judgements as to whether there is observable data indicating a measurable decrease in the estimated future cash flows from a financial asset.

Impairment testing

The recoverable amounts of cash-generating units and individual assets have been determined based on the higher of value-in-use calculations and fair values less costs to sell. These calculations require the use of estimates and assumptions. It is reasonably possible that the assumption may change which may then impact our estimations and may then require a material adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill and tangible assets.

The municipality reviews and tests the carrying value of assets when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. In addition, goodwill is tested on an annual basis for impairment. Assets are grouped at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of cash flows of other assets and liabilities. If there are indications that impairment may have occurred, estimates are prepared of expected future cash flows for each group of assets.

Property, plant and equipment

As described in accounting policies 1.3 and 1.4, the municipality depreciates / amortises its property, plant and equipment and intangible assets over the estimated useful lives of the assets, taking into account the residual values of the assets at the end of their useful lives, which is determined when the assets are available for use. The useful lives of assets are based on management's estimation.

Management considered the impact of technology, availability of capital funding, service requirement and required return on assets in order to determine the optimum useful life expectation, where appropriate.

The estimation of residual values of assets is based on management's judgemental as to whether the assets will be sold or used to the end of their useful lives, and in what condition they will be at that time.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.2 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty (continued)

Provision for long service awards

The present value of the provision for long service awards depends on a number of factors that are determined on an actuarial basis using a number of assumptions. The assumptions used in determining the net cost/(income) include the discount rate. Any changes in these assumptions will impact on the carrying amount of the provision for long service awards.

The municipality determines the appropriate discount rate at the end of each year. This is the interest rate that should be used to determine the present value of estimated future cash outflows expected to be required to settle the obligation. In determining the appropriate discount rate, the municipality considers the interest rates of high quality corporate bonds that are denominated in the currency in which the benefits will be paid, and that have terms to maturity approximating the terms of the related liability.

Other key assumptions for the provision for long service awards are based on current market conditions. Additional information is disclosed in note 11.

Impairement of receivables

On debtors an impairment loss is recognised in surplus and deficit when there is objective evidence that it is impaired. The impairment is measured as the difference between the debtors carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate, computed at initial recognition.

1.3 Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one period.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognised as an asset when:

- it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

Property, plant and equipment is initially measured at cost.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost.

Where an asset is acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its cost is its fair value as at date of acquisition.

Where an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the asset acquired is initially measured at fair value (the cost). If the acquired item's fair value was not determinable, it's deemed cost is the carrying amount of the asset(s) given up.

When significant components of an item of property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

Costs include costs incurred initially to acquire or construct an item of property, plant and equipment and costs incurred subsequently to add to, replace part of, or service it. If a replacement cost is recognised in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised.

The initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located is also included in the cost of property, plant and equipment, where the entity is obligated to incur such expenditure, and where the obligation arises as a result of acquiring the asset or using it for purposes other than the production of inventories.

Recognition of costs in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment ceases when the item is in the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.3 Property, plant and equipment (continued)

Major spare parts and stand by equipment which are expected to be used for more than one period are included in property, plant and equipment. In addition, spare parts and stand by equipment which can only be used in connection with an item of property, plant and equipment are accounted for as property, plant and equipment.

Major inspection costs which are a condition of continuing use of an item of property, plant and equipment and which meet the recognition criteria above are included as a replacement in the cost of the item of property, plant and equipment. Any remaining inspection costs from the previous inspection are derecognised.

Property, plant and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses.

Property, plant and equipment are depreciated on the straight line basis over their expected useful lives to their estimated residual value.

The useful lives of items of property, plant and equipment have been assessed as follows:

Item	Depreciation method	Average useful life
Furniture and fixtures	Straight line	7 years
Motor vehicles	Straight line	5 years
IT equipment	Straight line	3-7 years

The residual value, and the useful life and depreciation method of each asset are reviewed at the end of each reporting date. If the expectations differ from previous estimates, the change is accounted for as a change in accounting estimate.

Reviewing the useful life of an asset on an annual basis does not require the entity to amend the previous estimate unless expectations differ from the previous estimate.

Each part of an item of property, plant and equipment with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost of the item is depreciated separately.

The depreciation charge for each period is recognised in surplus or deficit unless it is included in the carrying amount of another asset.

Items of property, plant and equipment are derecognised when the asset is disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is included in surplus or deficit when the item is derecognised. The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the item.

Assets which the municipality holds for rentals to others and subsequently routinely sell as part of the ordinary course of activities, are transferred to inventories when the rentals end and the assets are available-for-sale. These assets are not accounted for as non-current assets held for sale. Proceeds from sales of these assets are recognised as revenue. All cash flows on these assets are included in cash flows from operating activities in the cash flow statement.

1.4 Intangible assets

An intangible asset is an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance.

An asset is identifiable if it either:

- is separable, i.e. is capable of being separated or divided from an entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, identifiable assets or liability, regardless of whether the entity intends to do so; or
- arises from binding arrangements (including rights from contracts), regardless of whether those rights are transferable or separable from the municipality or from other rights and obligations.

A binding arrangement describes an arrangement that confers similar rights and obligations on the parties to it as if it were in the form of a contract.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.4 Intangible assets (continued)

An intangible asset is recognised when:

- it is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

The municipality assesses the probability of expected future economic benefits or service potential using reasonable and supportable assumptions that represent management's best estimate of the set of economic conditions that will exist over the useful life of the asset.

Where an intangible asset is acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its initial cost at the date of acquisition is measured at its fair value as at that date.

Expenditure on research (or on the research phase of an internal project) is recognised as an expense when it is incurred.

Intangible assets are carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any impairment losses.

An intangible asset is regarded as having an indefinite useful life when, based on all relevant factors, there is no foreseeable limit to the period over which the asset is expected to generate net cash inflows or service potential. Amortisation is not provided for these intangible assets, but they are tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired. For all other intangible assets amortisation is provided on a straight line basis over their useful life.

The amortisation period and the amortisation method for intangible assets are reviewed at each reporting date.

Reassessing the useful life of an intangible asset with a finite useful life after it was classified as indefinite is an indicator that the asset may be impaired. As a result the asset is tested for impairment and the remaining carrying amount is amortised over its useful life.

Internally generated brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists and items similar in substance are not recognised as intangible assets.

Internally generated goodwill is not recognised as an intangible asset.

Amortisation is provided to write down the intangible assets, on a straight line basis, to their residual values as follows:

ItemUseful lifeComputer software, other3 years

Intangible assets are derecognised:

- on disposal; or
- · when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal.

1.5 Investments

Where the carrying amount of an investment is greater than the estimated recoverable amount, it is written down immediately to its recoverable amount and an impairment loss is charged to the statement of financial performance.

1.6 Financial instruments

A financial instrument is any contract that gives rise to a financial asset of one entity and a financial liability or a residual interest of another entity.

The amortised cost of a financial asset or financial liability is the amount at which the financial asset or financial liability is measured at initial recognition minus principal repayments, plus or minus the cumulative amortisation using the effective interest method of any difference between that initial amount and the maturity amount, and minus any reduction (directly or through the use of an allowance account) for impairment or uncollectibility.

A concessionary loan is a loan granted to or received by an entity on terms that are not market related.

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

Currency risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in foreign exchange rates.

Derecognition is the removal of a previously recognised financial asset or financial liability from an entity's statement of financial position.

A derivative is a financial instrument or other contract with all three of the following characteristics:

- Its value changes in response to the change in a specified interest rate, financial instrument price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index of prices or rates, credit rating or credit index, or other variable, provided in the case of a non-financial variable that the variable is not specific to a party to the contract (sometimes called the 'underlying').
- It requires no initial net investment or an initial net investment that is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts that would be expected to have a similar response to changes in market factors.
- It is settled at a future date.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortised cost of a financial asset or a financial liability (or group of financial assets or financial liabilities) and of allocating the interest income or interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the financial instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the financial asset or financial liability. When calculating the effective interest rate, an entity shall estimate cash flows considering all contractual terms of the financial instrument (for example, prepayment, call and similar options) but shall not consider future credit losses. The calculation includes all fees and points paid or received between parties to the contract that are an integral part of the effective interest rate (see the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions), transaction costs, and all other premiums or discounts. There is a presumption that the cash flows and the expected life of a group of similar financial instruments can be estimated reliably. However, in those rare cases when it is not possible to reliably estimate the cash flows over the full contractual term of the financial instrument (or group of financial instruments).

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

A financial asset is:

- cash;
- a residual interest of another entity; or
- a contractual right to:
 - receive cash or another financial asset from another entity; or
 - exchange financial assets or financial liabilities with another entity under conditions that are potentially favourable to the entity.

A financial guarantee contract is a contract that requires the issuer to make specified payments to reimburse the holder for a loss it incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument.

A financial liability is any liability that is a contractual obligation to:

- deliver cash or another financial asset to another entity; or
- exchange financial assets or financial liabilities under conditions that are potentially unfavourable to the entity.

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates.

Liquidity risk is the risk encountered by an entity in the event of difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

Loan commitment is a firm commitment to provide credit under pre-specified terms and conditions.

Loans payable are financial liabilities, other than short-term payables on normal credit terms.

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Market risk comprises three types of risk: currency risk, interest rate risk and other price risk.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

Other price risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices (other than those arising from interest rate risk or currency risk), whether those changes are caused by factors specific to the individual financial instrument or its issuer, or factors affecting all similar financial instruments traded in the market.

A financial asset is past due when a counterparty has failed to make a payment when contractually due.

A residual interest is any contract that manifests an interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all of its liabilities. A residual interest includes contributions from owners, which may be shown as:

- equity instruments or similar forms of unitised capital;
- a formal designation of a transfer of resources (or a class of such transfers) by the parties to the transaction as
 forming part of an entity's net assets, either before the contribution occurs or at the time of the contribution; or
- a formal agreement, in relation to the contribution, establishing or increasing an existing financial interest in the net assets of an entity.

Transaction costs are incremental costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, issue or disposal of a financial asset or financial liability. An incremental cost is one that would not have been incurred if the entity had not acquired, issued or disposed of the financial instrument.

Financial instruments at amortised cost are non-derivative financial assets or non-derivative financial liabilities that have fixed or determinable payments, excluding those instruments that:

- the entity designates at fair value at initial recognition; or
- are held for trading.

Financial instruments at cost are investments in residual interests that do not have a quoted market price in an active market, and whose fair value cannot be reliably measured.

Financial instruments at fair value comprise financial assets or financial liabilities that are:

- derivatives;
- · combined instruments that are designated at fair value;
- instruments held for trading. A financial instrument is held for trading if:
 - it is acquired or incurred principally for the purpose of selling or repurchasing it in the near-term; or
 - on initial recognition it is part of a portfolio of identified financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of a recent actual pattern of short term profit-taking;
 - non-derivative financial assets or financial liabilities with fixed or determinable payments that are designated at fair value at initial recognition; and
 - financial instruments that do not meet the definition of financial instruments at amortised cost or financial instruments at cost.

Classification

The municipality has the following types of financial assets (classes and category) as reflected on the face of the statement of financial position or in the notes thereto:

Class

Receivables from non-exchange transactions Receivables from exchange transactions - RSC Levies VAT Receivable Cash and cash equivalents

Category

Financial asset measured at amortised cost Financial asset measured at amortised cost Financial asset measured at amortised cost Financial asset measured at amortised cost

The municipality has the following types of financial liabilities (classes and category) as reflected on the face of the statement of financial position or in the notes thereto:

Class

Fiance lease obligation Operating lease liability Payables from exchange transactions Unspent conditional grants and reciepts

Category

Financial liability measured at amortised cost Financial liability measured at amortised cost

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

Initial recognition

The entity recognises a financial asset or a financial liability in its statement of financial position when the entity becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The entity recognises financial assets using trade date accounting.

Initial measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities

The municipality measures a financial asset and financial liability initially at its fair value plus transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition or issue of the financial asset or financial liability.

The municipality measures a financial asset and financial liability initially at its fair value.

The municipality first assesses whether the substance of a concessionary loan is in fact a loan. On initial recognition, the municipality analyses a concessionary loan into its component parts and accounts for each component separately. The municipality accounts for that part of a concessionary loan that is:

- a social benefit in accordance with the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements, where it is the issuer of the loan; or
- non-exchange revenue, in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers), where it is the recipient of the loan.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

Subsequent measurement of financial assets and financial liabilities

The municipality measures all financial assets and financial liabilities after initial recognition using the following categories:

- Financial instruments at fair value.
- Financial instruments at amortised cost.
- Financial instruments at cost.

All financial assets measured at amortised cost, or cost, are subject to an impairment review.

Fair value measurement considerations

The best evidence of fair value is quoted prices in an active market. If the market for a financial instrument is not active, the municipality establishes fair value by using a valuation technique. The objective of using a valuation technique is to establish what the transaction price would have been on the measurement date in an arm's length exchange motivated by normal operating considerations. Valuation techniques include using recent arm's length market transactions between knowledgeable, willing parties, if available, reference to the current fair value of another instrument that is substantially the same, discounted cash flow analysis and option pricing models. If there is a valuation technique commonly used by market participants to price the instrument and that technique has been demonstrated to provide reliable estimates of prices obtained in actual market transactions, the entity uses that technique. The chosen valuation technique makes maximum use of market inputs and relies as little as possible on entity-specific inputs. It incorporates all factors that market participants would consider in setting a price and is consistent with accepted economic methodologies for pricing financial instruments. Periodically, an municipality calibrates the valuation technique and tests it for validity using prices from any observable current market transactions in the same instrument (i.e. without modification or repackaging) or based on any available observable market data.

The fair value of a financial liability with a demand feature (e.g. a demand deposit) is not less than the amount payable on demand, discounted from the first date that the amount could be required to be paid.

Reclassification

The entity does not reclassify a financial instrument while it is issued or held unless it is:

- combined instrument that is required to be measured at fair value; or
- an investment in a residual interest that meets the requirements for reclassification.

Where the entity cannot reliably measure the fair value of an embedded derivative that has been separated from a host contract that is a financial instrument at a subsequent reporting date, it measures the combined instrument at fair value. This requires a reclassification of the instrument from amortised cost or cost to fair value.

If fair value can no longer be measured reliably for an investment in a residual interest measured at fair value, the entity reclassifies the investment from fair value to cost. The carrying amount at the date that fair value is no longer available becomes the cost.

If a reliable measure becomes available for an investment in a residual interest for which a measure was previously not available, and the instrument would have been required to be measured at fair value, the entity reclassifies the instrument from cost to fair value.

Gains and losses

A gain or loss arising from a change in the fair value of a financial asset or financial liability measured at fair value is recognised in surplus or deficit.

For financial assets and financial liabilities measured at amortised cost or cost, a gain or loss is recognised in surplus or deficit when the financial asset or financial liability is derecognised or impaired, or through the amortisation process.

Impairment and uncollectibility of financial assets

The municipality assess at the end of each reporting period whether there is any objective evidence that a financial asset or group of financial assets is impaired.

Financial assets measured at amortised cost:

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss on financial assets measured at amortised cost has been incurred, the amount of the loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows (excluding future credit losses that have not been incurred) discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate. The carrying amount of the asset is reduced directly OR through the use of an allowance account. The amount of the loss is recognised in surplus or deficit.

If, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognised, the previously recognised impairment loss is reversed directly OR by adjusting an allowance account. The reversal does not result in a carrying amount of the financial asset that exceeds what the amortised cost would have been had the impairment not been recognised at the date the impairment is reversed. The amount of the reversal is recognised in surplus or deficit.

Financial assets measured at cost:

If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss has been incurred on an investment in a residual interest that is not measured at fair value because its fair value cannot be measured reliably, the amount of the impairment loss is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the financial asset and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the current market rate of return for a similar financial asset. Such impairment losses are not reversed.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

Derecognition

Financial assets

The municipality derecognises financial assets using trade date accounting.

The municipality derecognises a financial asset only when:

- the contractual rights to the cash flows from the financial asset expire, are settled or waived;
- the municipality transfers to another party substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset; or
- the municipality, despite having retained some significant risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset, has
 transferred control of the asset to another party and the other party has the practical ability to sell the asset in its
 entirety to an unrelated third party, and is able to exercise that ability unilaterally and without needing to impose
 additional restrictions on the transfer. In this case, the municipality:
 - derecognise the asset; and
 - recognise separately any rights and obligations created or retained in the transfer.

The carrying amounts of the transferred asset are allocated between the rights or obligations retained and those transferred on the basis of their relative fair values at the transfer date. Newly created rights and obligations are measured at their fair values at that date. Any difference between the consideration received and the amounts recognised and derecognised is recognised in surplus or deficit in the period of the transfer.

If the municipality transfers a financial asset in a transfer that qualifies for derecognition in its entirety and retains the right to service the financial asset for a fee, it recognise either a servicing asset or a servicing liability for that servicing contract. If the fee to be received is not expected to compensate the entity adequately for performing the servicing, a servicing liability for the servicing obligation is recognised at its fair value. If the fee to be received is expected to be more than adequate compensation for the servicing, a servicing asset is recognised for the servicing right at an amount determined on the basis of an allocation of the carrying amount of the larger financial asset.

If, as a result of a transfer, a financial asset is derecognised in its entirety but the transfer results in the entity obtaining a new financial asset or assuming a new financial liability, or a servicing liability, the entity recognise the new financial asset, financial liability or servicing liability at fair value.

On derecognition of a financial asset in its entirety, the difference between the carrying amount and the sum of the consideration received is recognised in surplus or deficit.

If the transferred asset is part of a larger financial asset and the part transferred qualifies for derecognition in its entirety, the previous carrying amount of the larger financial asset is allocated between the part that continues to be recognised and the part that is derecognised, based on the relative fair values of those parts, on the date of the transfer. For this purpose, a retained servicing asset is treated as a part that continues to be recognised. The difference between the carrying amount allocated to the part derecognised and the sum of the consideration received for the part derecognised is recognised in surplus or deficit.

If a transfer does not result in derecognition because the municipality has retained substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the transferred asset, the municipality continue to recognise the transferred asset in its entirety and recognise a financial liability for the consideration received. In subsequent periods, the municipality recognises any revenue on the transferred asset and any expense incurred on the financial liability. Neither the asset, and the associated liability nor the revenue, and the associated expenses are offset.

Financial liabilities

The municipality removes a financial liability (or a part of a financial liability) from its statement of financial position when it is extinguished — i.e. when the obligation specified in the contract is discharged, cancelled, expires or waived.

An exchange between an existing borrower and lender of debt instruments with substantially different terms is accounted for as having extinguished the original financial liability and a new financial liability is recognised. Similarly, a substantial modification of the terms of an existing financial liability or a part of it is accounted for as having extinguished the original financial liability and having recognised a new financial liability.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

The difference between the carrying amount of a financial liability (or part of a financial liability) extinguished or transferred to another party and the consideration paid, including any non-cash assets transferred or liabilities assumed, is recognised in surplus or deficit. Any liabilities that are waived, forgiven or assumed by another entity by way of a non-exchange transaction are accounted for in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers).

Presentation

Interest relating to a financial instrument or a component that is a financial liability is recognised as revenue or expense in surplus or deficit.

Losses and gains relating to a financial instrument or a component that is a financial liability is recognised as revenue or expense in surplus or deficit

A financial asset and a financial liability are only offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when the entity currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and intends either to settle on a net basis, or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

In accounting for a transfer of a financial asset that does not qualify for derecognition, the entity does not offset the transferred asset and the associated liability.

Receivables from exchange transactions

Trade receivables are measured at initial recognition at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method. Appropriate allowances for estimated irrecoverable amounts are recognised in surplus or deficit when there is objective evidence that the asset is impaired. Significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganisation, and default of delinquency in payments (more than 30 days overdue) are considered indicators that the trade receivable is impaired. The allowance recognised is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate computed at initial recognition.

The carrying amount of the asset is reduced through the use of an allowance account, and the amount of the deficit is recognised in surplus or deficit within operating expenses. When a trade receivable is uncollectable, it is written off against the allowance account for trade receivables. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against operating expense in surplus of deficit.

Payables from exchange transactions

Trade payables are initially measured at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost, using the effective interest rate method.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand and demand deposits, other short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to a known amount of cash and are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.

Bank overdraft and borrowings

Bank overdraft and borrowings are initially measured at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost, using the effective interest rate method. Any difference between the proceeds (net of transaction costs) and the settlement or redemption of borrowings is recognised over the term of the borrowings in accordance with the municipality's accounting policy for borrowing costs.

Gains and losses

A gain or loss arising from a change in a financial asset or financial liability is recognised as follows:

- A gain or loss on a financial asset or financial liability classified as at fair value through surplus or deficit is recognised in surplus or deficit;
- A gain or loss on an available-for-sale financial asset is recognised directly in net assets, through the statement of
 changes in net assets, until the financial asset is derecognised, at which time the cumulative gain or loss previously
 recognised in net assets is recognised in surplus or deficit; and

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.6 Financial instruments (continued)

• For financial assets and financial liabilities carried at amortised cost, a gain or loss is recognised in surplus or deficit when the financial asset or financial liability is derecognised or impaired, and through the amortisation process.

1.7 Leases

A lease is classified as a finance lease if it transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership. A lease is classified as an operating lease if it does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership.

When a lease includes both land and buildings elements, the entity assesses the classification of each element separately.

Finance leases - lessee

Finance leases are recognised as assets and liabilities in the statement of financial position at amounts equal to the fair value of the leased property or, if lower, the present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding liability to the lessor is included in the statement of financial position as a finance lease obligation.

The discount rate used in calculating the present value of the minimum lease payments is the interest rate implicit in the lease.

Minimum lease payments are apportioned between the finance charge and reduction of the outstanding liability. The finance charge is allocated to each period during the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of on the remaining balance of the liability.

Any contingent rents are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Subsequent to initial recognition, the asset is account for in accordance with the accounting policy applicable to that asset. Assets held under finance leases are depreciated over their expected useful lives on the same basis as owned assets, or where shorter, the term of the relevant lease. The determination of whether an arrangement is, or contains a lease is based on the substance of the arrangement at inception date of whether the fulfillment of the arrangement is dependent on the use of a specific asset or assets or the arrangement conveys a right to use the asset. The classification of the lease is determined using the standard of GRAP on leases.

Operating leases - lessee

Operating lease payments are recognised as an expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The difference between the amounts recognised as an expense and the contractual payments are recognised as an operating lease asset or liability.

Lease incentives received are recognised as an integral part of the total lease expense, over the term of the lease.

1.8 Impairment of cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by the municipality with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.8 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

Recoverable amount of an asset or a cash-generating unit is the higher its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

Criteria developed by the municipality to distinguish cash-generating assets from non-cash-generating assets are as follow:

Identification

When the carrying amount of a cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable amount, it is impaired.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the municipality also test a cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year. If an intangible asset was initially recognised during the current reporting period, that intangible asset was tested for impairment before the end of the current reporting period.

Value in use

Value in use of a cash-generating asset is the present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to be derived from the continuing use of an asset and from its disposal at the end of its useful life.

When estimating the value in use of an asset, the municipality estimates the future cash inflows and outflows to be derived from continuing use of the asset and from its ultimate disposal and the municipality applies the appropriate discount rate to those future cash flows.

Recognition and measurement (individual asset)

If the recoverable amount of a cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the cash-generating asset to which it relates, the municipality recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standard of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.8 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

Reversal of impairment loss

The municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the entity estimates the recoverable amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit is allocated to the cash-generating assets of the unit pro rata with the carrying amounts of those assets. These increases in carrying amounts are treated as reversals of impairment losses for individual assets. No part of the amount of such a reversal is allocated to a non-cash-generating asset contributing service potential to a cash-generating unit.

In allocating a reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit, the carrying amount of an asset is not increased above the lower of:

- its recoverable amount (if determinable); and
- the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortisation or depreciation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

The amount of the reversal of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated pro rata to the other assets of the unit.

1.9 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by the municipality with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.9 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets (continued)

Recoverable service amount is the higher of a non-cash-generating asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

Identification

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the entity also test a non-cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a non-cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable service amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year. If an intangible asset was initially recognised during the current reporting period, that intangible asset was tested for impairment before the end of the current reporting period.

Recognition and measurement

If the recoverable service amount of a non-cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the non-cash-generating asset to which it relates, the municipality recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standards of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Reversal of an impairment loss

The municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable service amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable service amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a non-cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.10 Employee benefits

Employee benefits are all forms of consideration given by an entity in exchange for service rendered by employees.

A qualifying insurance policy is an insurance policy issued by an insurer that is not a related party (as defined in the Standard of GRAP on Related Party Disclosures) of the reporting entity, if the proceeds of the policy can be used only to pay or fund employee benefits under a defined benefit plan and are not available to the reporting entity's own creditors (even in liquidation) and cannot be paid to the reporting entity, unless either:

- the proceeds represent surplus assets that are not needed for the policy to meet all the related employee benefit obligations; or
- the proceeds are returned to the reporting entity to reimburse it for employee benefits already paid.

Termination benefits are employee benefits payable as a result of either:

- an entity's decision to terminate an employee's employment before the normal retirement date; or
- an employee's decision to accept voluntary redundancy in exchange for those benefits.

Other long-term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than post-employment benefits and termination benefits) that are not due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service.

Vested employee benefits are employee benefits that are not conditional on future employment.

Composite social security programmes are established by legislation and operate as multi-employer plans to provide post-employment benefits as well as to provide benefits that are not consideration in exchange for service rendered by employees.

A constructive obligation is an obligation that derives from an entity's actions where by an established pattern of past practice, published policies or a sufficiently specific current statement, the entity has indicated to other parties that it will accept certain responsibilities and as a result, the entity has created a valid expectation on the part of those other parties that it will discharge those responsibilities.

Short-term employee benefits

Short-term employee benefits are employee benefits (other than termination benefits) that are due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the period in which the employees render the related service.

Short-term employee benefits include items such as:

- wages, salaries and social security contributions;
- short-term compensated absences (such as paid annual leave and paid sick leave) where the compensation for the
 absences is due to be settled within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees
 render the related employee service;
- bonus, incentive and performance related payments payable within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service; and
- non-monetary benefits (for example, medical care, and free or subsidised goods or services such as housing, cars and cellphones) for current employees.

When an employee has rendered service to the entity during a reporting period, the entity recognise the undiscounted amount of short-term employee benefits expected to be paid in exchange for that service:

- as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any amount already paid. If the amount already paid exceeds the
 undiscounted amount of the benefits, the entity recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense) to the extent
 that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a cash refund; and
- as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the benefits in the cost of an asset.

The expected cost of compensated absences is recognised as an expense as the employees render services that increase their entitlement or, in the case of non-accumulating absences, when the absence occurs. The entity measure the expected cost of accumulating compensated absences as the additional amount that the entity expects to pay as a result of the unused entitlement that has accumulated at the reporting date.

The entity recognise the expected cost of bonus, incentive and performance related payments when the entity has a present legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past events and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made. A present obligation exists when the entity has no realistic alternative but to make the payments.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.10 Employee benefits (continued)

Post-employment benefits: Defined contribution plans

Defined contribution plans are post-employment benefit plans under which an entity pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund) and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the fund does not hold sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods.

When an employee has rendered service to the entity during a reporting period, the entity recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service:

- as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting any contribution already paid. If the contribution already paid
 exceeds the contribution due for service before the reporting date, an entity recognise that excess as an asset
 (prepaid expense) to the extent that the prepayment will lead to, for example, a reduction in future payments or a
 cash refund; and
- as an expense, unless another Standard requires or permits the inclusion of the contribution in the cost of an asset.

Where contributions to a defined contribution plan do not fall due wholly within twelve months after the end of the reporting period in which the employees render the related service, they are discounted. The rate used to discount reflects the time value of money. The currency and term of the financial instrument selected to reflect the time value of money is consistent with the currency and estimated term of the obligation.

Provision for long service awards

For the provision for long service awards the cost of providing the benefits is determined using the projected credit method.

Actuarial valuations are conducted on an annual basis by independent actuaries separately for each plan.

Consideration is given to any event that could impact the funds up to end of the reporting period where the interim valuation is performed at an earlier date.

The municipality has an obligation to provide long-service allowance benefits to all of its employees. According to the rules of the Long-service Allowance Scheme, which the municipality instituted and operates, an employee (who is on the current Conditions of Service), is entitled to a cash allowance, calculated in terms of the rules of the scheme. The municipality's liability is based on an actuarial valuation. The projected unit credit method has been used to value the liabilities. Actuarial gains and losses on the long term incentives are accounted for through the statement of financial performance.

When it is virtually certain that another party will reimburse some or all of the expenditure required to settle a defined benefit obligation, the right to reimbursement is recognised as a separate asset. The asset is measured at fair value. In all other respects, the asset is treated in the same way as plan assets. In surplus or deficit, the expense relating to a defined benefit plan is presented as the net of the amount recognised for a reimbursement.

1.11 Provisions and contingencies

Provisions are recognised when:

- the municipality has a present obligation as a result of a past event:
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the obligation.

The amount of a provision is the best estimate of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date.

Where the effect of time value of money is material, the amount of a provision is the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation.

The discount rate is a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability.

Where some or all of the expenditure required to settle a provision is expected to be reimbursed by another party, the reimbursement is recognised when, and only when, it is virtually certain that reimbursement will be received if the municipality settles the obligation. The reimbursement is treated as a separate asset. The amount recognised for the reimbursement does not exceed the amount of the provision.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.11 Provisions and contingencies (continued)

Provisions are reviewed at each reporting date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate. Provisions are reversed if it is no longer probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required, to settle the obligation.

Where discounting is used, the carrying amount of a provision increases in each period to reflect the passage of time. This increase is recognised as an interest expense.

A provision is used only for expenditures for which the provision was originally recognised.

Provisions are not recognised for future operating deficits.

If an entity has a contract that is onerous, the present obligation (net of recoveries) under the contract is recognised and measured as a provision.

A constructive obligation to restructure arises only when an entity:

- has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring, identifying at least:
 - the activity/operating unit or part of a activity/operating unit concerned;
 - the principal locations affected;
 - the location, function, and approximate number of employees who will be compensated for services being terminated;
 - the expenditures that will be undertaken; and
 - when the plan will be implemented; and
- has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring, which are those that are both:

- necessarily entailed by the restructuring, and
- not associated with the ongoing activities of the municipality

No obligation arises as a consequence of the sale or transfer of an operation until the municipality is committed to the sale or transfer, that is, there is a binding arrangement.

After their initial recognition contingent liabilities recognised in entity combinations that are recognised separately are subsequently measured at the higher of:

- the amount that would be recognised as a provision; and
- the amount initially recognised less cumulative amortisation.

Contingent assets and contingent liabilities are not recognised. Contingencies are disclosed in note 26.

A financial guarantee contract is a contract that requires the issuer to make specified payments to reimburse the holder for a loss it incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument.

Loan commitment is a firm commitment to provide credit under pre-specified terms and conditions.

The municipality recognises a provision for financial guarantees and loan commitments when it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits and service potential will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made.

Determining whether an outflow of resources is probable in relation to financial guarantees requires judgement. Indications that an outflow of resources may be probable are:

- financial difficulty of the debtor;
- defaults or delinquencies in interest and capital repayments by the debtor;
- breaches of the terms of the debt instrument that result in it being payable earlier than the agreed term and the ability of the debtor to settle its obligation on the amended terms; and
- a decline in prevailing economic circumstances (e.g. high interest rates, inflation and unemployment) that impact on the ability of entities to repay their obligations.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.11 Provisions and contingencies (continued)

Where a fee is received by the municipality for issuing a financial guarantee and/or where a fee is charged on loan commitments, it is considered in determining the best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation at reporting date. Where a fee is charged and the municipality considers that an outflow of economic resources is probable, an municipality recognises the obligation at the higher of:

- the amount determined using in the Standard of GRAP on Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets;
- the amount of the fee initially recognised less, where appropriate, cumulative amortisation recognised in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions.

1.12 Revenue from exchange transactions

Revenue is the gross inflow of economic benefits or service potential during the reporting period when those inflows result in an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

An exchange transaction is one in which the municipality receives assets or services, or has liabilities extinguished, and directly gives approximately equal value (primarily in the form of goods, services or use of assets) to the other party in exchange.

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

Measurement

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable, net of trade discounts and volume rebates.

Sale of goods

Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised when all the following conditions have been satisfied:

- the municipality has transferred to the purchaser the significant risks and rewards of ownership of the goods;
- the municipality retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold:
- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality; and
- the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

Rendering of services

When the outcome of a transaction involving the rendering of services can be estimated reliably, revenue associated with the transaction is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. The outcome of a transaction can be estimated reliably when all the following conditions are satisfied:

- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality;
- the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date can be measured reliably; and
- the costs incurred for the transaction and the costs to complete the transaction can be measured reliably.

When services are performed by an indeterminate number of acts over a specified time frame, revenue is recognised on a straight line basis over the specified time frame unless there is evidence that some other method better represents the stage of completion. When a specific act is much more significant than any other acts, the recognition of revenue is postponed until the significant act is executed.

When the outcome of the transaction involving the rendering of services cannot be estimated reliably, revenue is recognised only to the extent of the expenses recognised that are recoverable.

Service revenue is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. Stage of completion is determined by the proportion that costs incurred to date bear to the total estimated costs of the transaction.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.12 Revenue from exchange transactions (continued)

Interest received

Revenue arising from the use by others of entity assets yielding interest, royalties and dividends or similar distributions is recognised when:

- It is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality, and
- The amount of the revenue can be measured reliably.

Interest is recognised, in surplus or deficit, using the effective interest rate method.

Service fees included in the price of the product are recognised as revenue over the period during which the service is performed.

1.13 Revenue from non-exchange transactions

Revenue comprises gross inflows of economic benefits or service potential received and receivable by an municipality, which represents an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

Conditions on transferred assets are stipulations that specify that the future economic benefits or service potential embodied in the asset is required to be consumed by the recipient as specified or future economic benefits or service potential must be returned to the transferor.

Control of an asset arise when the municipality can use or otherwise benefit from the asset in pursuit of its objectives and can exclude or otherwise regulate the access of others to that benefit.

Exchange transactions are transactions in which one entity receives assets or services, or has liabilities extinguished, and directly gives approximately equal value (primarily in the form of cash, goods, services, or use of assets) to another entity in exchange.

Expenses paid through the tax system are amounts that are available to beneficiaries regardless of whether or not they pay taxes.

Fines are economic benefits or service potential received or receivable by entities, as determined by a court or other law enforcement body, as a consequence of the breach of laws or regulations.

Non-exchange transactions are transactions that are not exchange transactions. In a non-exchange transaction, an municipality either receives value from another municipality without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange, or gives value to another municipality without directly receiving approximately equal value in exchange.

Restrictions on transferred assets are stipulations that limit or direct the purposes for which a transferred asset may be used, but do not specify that future economic benefits or service potential is required to be returned to the transferor if not deployed as specified.

Stipulations on transferred assets are terms in laws or regulation, or a binding arrangement, imposed upon the use of a transferred asset by entities external to the reporting municipality.

Transfers are inflows of future economic benefits or service potential from non-exchange transactions, other than taxes.

Recognition

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset is recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is also recognised in respect of the same inflow.

As the municipality satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset, it reduces the carrying amount of the liability recognised and recognises an amount of revenue equal to that reduction.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.13 Revenue from non-exchange transactions (continued)

Measurement

Revenue from a non-exchange transaction is measured at the amount of the increase in net assets recognised by the municipality.

When, as a result of a non-exchange transaction, the municipality recognises an asset, it also recognises revenue equivalent to the amount of the asset measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition, unless it is also required to recognise a liability. Where a liability is required to be recognised it will be measured as the best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and the amount of the increase in net assets, if any, recognised as revenue. When a liability is subsequently reduced, because the taxable event occurs or a condition is satisfied, the amount of the reduction in the liability is recognised as revenue.

Taxes

The municipality recognises an asset in respect of taxes when the taxable event occurs and the asset recognition criteria are met.

The municipality accounts for VAT on the cash basis. The municipality is liable to account for VAT at the standard rate (14%) in terms of section 7 (1) (a) of the VAT Act in respect of the supply of goods or services, except where the supplies are specifically zero-rated in terms of section 11, exempted in terms of section 12 of the VAT Act or are scoped out for VAT purposes. The municipality accounts for VAT on a monthly basis.

1.14 Investment income

Investment income is recognised on a time-proportion basis using the effective interest method.

1.15 Comparative figures

When the presentation or classification of an item in the annual financial statements are amended, comparative amounts are reclassified.

1.16 Unauthorised expenditure

Unauthorised expenditure means:

- overspending of a vote or a main division within a vote; and
- expenditure not in accordance with the purpose of a vote or, in the case of a main division, not in accordance with the purpose of the main division.

All expenditure relating to unauthorised expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

1.17 Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Fruitless expenditure means expenditure which was made in vain and would have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised.

All expenditure relating to fruitless and wasteful expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

1.18 Irregular expenditure

Irregular expenditure as defined in section 1 of the PFMA is expenditure other than unauthorised expenditure, incurred in contravention of or that is not in accordance with a requirement of any applicable legislation, including -

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.18 Irregular expenditure (continued)

- (a) this Act; or
- (b) which has not been condoned in terms of section 170;
- (c) expenditure incurred by a municipality or municipal entity in contravention of, or that is not in accordance with, a requirement of Municipality Systems Act, and which has not been condoned in terms of the Act;
- (d) expenditure incurred by a municipality in contravention of, or that is not in accordance with, a requirement of the Public Office-Bearers Act, 1998 (Act No.20 of 1998); or
- (e) expenditure incurred by a municipality or municipal entity in contravention of , or that is not in accordance with a requirement of the supply chain management policy of the municipality or entity or any of the municipality's by-laws giving effect to such policy, and which has not been condoned in terms of such policy or by-laws but excludes expenditure by a municipality which falls within the definition of "unauthorised expenditure"

National Treasury practice note no. 4 of 2008/2009 which was issued in terms of sections 76(1) to 76(4) of the PFMA requires the following (effective from 1 April 2008):

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial and which was condoned before year end and/or before finalisation of the financial statements must also be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. In such an instance, no further action is also required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and for which condonement is being awaited at year end must be recorded in the irregular expenditure register. No further action is required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Where irregular expenditure was incurred in the previous financial year and is only condoned in the following financial year, the register and the disclosure note to the financial statements must be updated with the amount condoned.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and which was not condoned by the National Treasury or the relevant authority must be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. If liability for the irregular expenditure can be attributed to a person, a debt account must be created if such a person is liable in law. Immediate steps must thereafter be taken to recover the amount from the person concerned. If recovery is not possible, the accounting officer or accounting authority may write off the amount as debt impairment and disclose such in the relevant note to the financial statements. The irregular expenditure register must also be updated accordingly. If the irregular expenditure has not been condoned and no person is liable in law, the expenditure related thereto must remain against the relevant programme/expenditure item, be disclosed as such in the note to the financial statements and updated accordingly in the irregular expenditure register.

1.19 Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with Standards of GRAP requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgment in the process of applying the municipality's accounting policies. The areas involving a higher degree of judgment or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the financial statements are disclosed in the relevant sections of the financial statements. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions they may undertake in the future, actual results ultimately may differ from those estimates.

Estimates in the financial statements include but are not limited to the following:

- Depreciation
- Bad debts.
- Long service awards

1.20 Segmental information

Segmental information on property, plant and equipment, as well as income and expenditure, is set out in Appendices C and D, based on the International Government Financial Statistics classifications and the budget formats prescribed by National Treasury. The municipality operates solely in its area of jurisdiction as determined by the Demarcation Board.

Segment information is prepared in conformity with the accounting policies applied for preparing and presenting the financial statements.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.21 Offsetting

Assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses have not been offset except when offsetting is required or permitted by a Standard of GRAP

1.22 Budget information

The approved budget is prepared on a accrual basis and presented by economic classification linked to performance outcome objectives. Deviations between budget and actual amounts are regarded as material differences when a 10% and R100 000 deviation exists. All material differences are explained in the Statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

The approved budget covers the fiscal period from 2014/07/01 to 2015/06/30. The financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting, and the budget is prepared on the accrual basis. A comparison with the budgeted amounts for the reporting period has been included in the Statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

The annual budget figures have been prepared in accordance with the GRAP standard. The amounts are scheduled as a separate additional financial statement, called the Statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

Explanatory comment is provided in the notes to the annual financial statements giving reasons for significant individual variances between budgeted and actual amounts. These figures are those approved by the Council at the beginning and during the year following a period of consultation with the public as part of the Integrated development plan. The budget is approved on accrual basis.

The Statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts has been included in the financial statements as the recommended disclosure when the financial statements and the budget are on the same basis of accounting as determined by National Treasury.

1.23 Related parties

The municipality operates in an economic sector currently dominated by entities directly or indirectly owned by the South African Government. As a consequence of the constitutional independence of the three spheres of government in South Africa, only entities within the national sphere of government are considered to be related parties.

Management are those persons responsible for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the municipality, including those charged with the governance of the municipality in accordance with legislation, in instances where they are required to perform such functions.

Close members of the family of a person are considered to be those family members who may be expected to influence, or be influenced by, that management in their dealings with the municipality.

Individuals as well as their close family members, and/or entities are related parties if one party has the ability, directly or indirectly, to control or jointly control the other party or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial and/or operating decisions. Management is regarded as a related party and comprises the councillors, Executive Mayor, Mayoral Committee members, Municipal Manager, executive directors and all other managers reporting directly to the Municipal Manager or as designated by the Municipal Manager.

1.24 Events after reporting date

Events after reporting date are those events, both favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the reporting date and the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

- those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the reporting date (adjusting events after the reporting date);
- those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting date (non-adjusting events after the reporting date).

The municipality will adjust the amount recognised in the financial statements to reflect adjusting events after the reporting date once the event occurred.

The municipality will disclose the nature of the event and an estimate of its financial effect or a statement that such estimate cannot be made in respect of all material non-adjusting events, where non-disclosure could influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Accounting Policies

1.25 Conditional grants and receipts

Revenue received from conditional grants, donations and funding are recognised as revenue to the extent that the municipality has complied with any of the criteria, conditions or obligations embodied in the agreement. To the extent that the criteria, conditions or obligations have not been met a liability is recognised.

Revenue from conditional grants is recognised when it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential will flow to the municipality, the amount of the revenue can be measured reliably and to the extent that there has been compliance with any restrictions associated with the grant.

Recognition

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset is recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is also recognised in respect of the same inflow.

As the municipality satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset, it reduces the carrying amount of the liability recognised and recognises an amount of revenue equal to that reduction.

Measurement

Revenue from a non-exchange transaction is measured at the amount of the increase in net assets recognised by the municipality.

When, as a result of a non-exchange transaction, the municipality recognises an asset, it also recognises revenue equivalent to the amount of the asset measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition, unless it is also required to recognise a liability.

Where a liability is required to be recognised it will be measured as the best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and the amount of the increase in net assets, if any, recognised as revenue. When a liability is subsequently reduced, because the taxable event occurs or a condition is satisfied, the amount of the reduction in the liability is recognised as revenue.

1.26 Commitments

The term 'commitments' is not defined in any of the standards but may be referred to as the intention to commit to an outflow from the entity of resources embodying economic benefits. Generally, a commitment arises when a decision is made to incur a liability e.g. a purchase contract. Such a decision is evidenced by, but not limited to, actions taken to determine the amount of the eventual resource outflow or a reliable estimate e.g. a quote, and conditions to be satisfied to establish an obligation e.g. delivery schedules. These preconditions ensure that the information relating to commitments is relevant and capable of reliable measurement. An entity may enter into a contract on or before the reporting date for expenditure over subsequent accounting periods e.g. a contract for construction of infrastructure assets, the purchase of major items of plant and equipment or significant consultancy contracts. In these events, a commitment exists at the reporting date as the entity has contracted for expenditure but no work has started and no payments have been made. The notes to the financial statements must disclose the nature and amount of each material individual and each material class of capital expenditure commitment as well as non-cancellable operating leases contracted for at the reporting date. Commitments for the supply of inventories, where a liability under a contract has not yet been recognised, do not require disclosure as a commitment.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
rigares in realia	2015	2014

2. New standards and interpretations

2.1 Standards and interpretations issued, but not yet effective

The municipality has not applied the following standards and interpretations, which have been published and are mandatory for the municipality's accounting periods beginning on or after 01 July 2015 or later periods:

Standard	d/ Interpretation:	Effective date: Years beginning on or after	Expected impact:
•	GRAP 20: Related parties	01 April 2016	The impact of the amendment is not material.
•	GRAP 109: Accounting by Principals and Agents	01 April 2016	The impact of the amendment is not material.
•	GRAP32: Service Concession Arrangements: Grantor	01 April 2016	The impact of the amendment is not material.
•	GRAP108: Statutory Receivables	01 April 2016	The impact of the amendment is not material.

3. Financial assets by category

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

2015	Financial assets at amortised cost	Total
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	1 948 389	1 948 389
Cash and cash equivalent	6 516 365	6 516 365
VAT Receivables	5 006 459	5 006 459
Receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies	7 212	7 212
	13 478 425	13 478 425
2014	Financial assets at amortised cost	Total
Receivables from non-exchange transaction	1 243 464	1 243 464
Cash and cash equivalent	27 199 475	27 199 475
VAT Receivables	4 928 117	4 928 117
Receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies	7 212	7 212
	33 378 268	33 378 268
4. Receivables from non-exchange transactions		
Sundry debtors Prepaid expenses	1 246 535	547 169
Suspense account - unidentified payment	304 143	20 833
Underpayment of PAYE	10 081	304 143 10 081
Seconded councillor's salaries over payment	1 784 288	1 784 288
Councillors over payments	364 922	338 530
Motheo District funds receivable	709 066	709 066
Impairment provision	(2 470 646)	(2 470 646)
	1 948 389	1 243 464

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014

4. Receivables from non-exchange transactions (continued)

Suspense account - unidentified payment

Payment relates to a trasnaction against the bank account and cashbook on 14 May 2009. No supporting documentation can be traced to identify the nature and the depositors of this batch payment processed.

Credit quality of trade and other receivables

The credit quality of trade and other receivables that are neither past nor due nor impaired can be assessed by reference to external credit ratings (if available) or to historical information about counterparty default rates.

Receivables from non-exchange transactions impaired

As of 30 June 2015, R 2,470,646 (2014: R 2,470,646) sundry receivables with a carrying amount of R 4,419,035 (2014: R 3,714,110) were impaired and provided for.

The ageing of these receivables is as follows:

3 to 6 months Over 6 months	704 925 3 714 110	248 876 3 465 234
	4 419 035	3 714 110
Reconciliation of impairment of receivables from non-exchange tra	nsactions	
Opening balance Impairment - current year	2 470 646	2 422 492 48 154
	2 470 646	2 470 646

The maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the fair value of each class of receivables mentioned above. The municipality does not hold any collateral as security.

5. Receivables from non-exchange transaction - RSC Levies

Levies	49 087 074	49 087 074
Impairment	(49 079 862)	(49 079 862)
	7 212	7 212

Receivables from non-exchange transaction - RSC Levies impaired

As of 30 June 2015, other receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC levies with a carrying amount of R 49,087,074 (2014: R 49,087,074) were impaired and provided for.

The amount of the provision was R 49,079,862 as of 30 June 2015 (2014: R 49,079,862).

The ageing of these receivables is as follows:

Over 12 months 49 087 074 49 087 074

Reconciliation of provision for impairement of receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies

Opening balance 49 079 862 49 079 862

The maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the fair value of each class of receivables mentioned above. The municipality does not hold any collateral as security.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

	6 516 364	27 199 475
Bank balances Short-term deposits	769 756 5 746 608	2 591 555 24 607 920
Cash and cash equivalents consist of:		0.504.555
7. Cash and cash equivalents		
The municipality accounts for VAT on the payment basis.		
VAT	5 006 459	4 928 117
6. VAT receivable		
Figures in Rand	2015	2014
		

The municipality had the following bank accounts

Account number / description	Bank	statement bala	inces	Ca	ash book baland	es
•	30 June 2015	30 June 2014	30 June 2013	30 June 2015	30 June 2014	30 June 2013
ABSA BANK - Cheque Account - 770-150-841	769 756	2 591 555	6 396 852	769 756	2 591 555	6 396 852
ABSA BANK - Fixed Deposit - 206-206-4985	198 531	327 646	1 487 547	198 531	327 646	1 487 547
FNB BANK - Fixed Deposit - 712-733-40-226	3 816 442	3 604 912	3 441 351	3 816 442	3 604 912	3 441 351
ABSA BANK - Fixed Deposit - 207-253-7209	1 731 635	20 000 000	31 355 415	1 731 635	20 000 000	31 355 415
ABSA BANK - Call Account - 921-300-0832	-	675 362	633 120	-	675 362	633 120
Total	6 516 364	27 199 475	43 314 285	6 516 364	27 199 475	43 314 285

8. Property, plant and equipment

	Ş 4	2015			2014	
	Cost	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Furniture and fixtures	2 398 648	(962 824)	1 435 824	1 711 393	(643 956)	1 067 437
Motor vehicles	2 692 400	(904 555)	1 787 845	2 563 645	(602 789)	1 960 856
IT equipment	7 231 604	(2 392 520)	4 839 084	3 628 090	(1 282 961)	2 345 129
Leased assets	3 453 048	(526 181)	2 926 867	1 580 444	(26 341)	1 554 103
Total	15 775 700	(4 786 080)	10 989 620	9 483 572	(2 556 047)	6 927 525

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - 2015

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Depreciation	Total
Furniture and fixtures	1 067 437	687 255	-	(318 868)	1 435 824
Motor vehicles	1 960 856	373 244	(47 680)	(498 575)	1 787 845
IT equipment	2 345 129	3 620 313	(11 398)	(1 114 960)	4 839 084
Leased assets	1 554 103	1 872 604		(499 840)	2 926 867
	6 927 525	6 553 416	(59 078)	(2 432 243)	10 989 620

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand 2015	5 2014
----------------------	--------

8. Property, plant and equipment (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - 2014

	Opening balance	Additions	Additions through entity combinations	Disposals	Depreciation	Total
Furniture and fixtures	483 704	729 199	-	-	(145 466)	1 067 437
Motor vehicles	227 128	1 870 037	_	-	(136 309)	1 960 856
IT equipment	1 105 114	1 787 552	-	(22 519)	(525 018)	2 345 129
Leased assets	41 941	1 580 444	(3 700)	<u>-</u>	(64 582)	1 554 103
	1 857 887	5 967 232	(3 700)	(22 519)	(871 375)	6 927 525

Other information

Proceeds for property, plant and equipment that were impaired, lost or given up and is included in surplus or deficit

IT equipment

Motor vehicles

3	129 208	19 500
	129 208	-
	-	19 500

Assets with a zero book value are still in use at 30 June 2015.

Office equipment with a carrying value of R 2,926,867 (2014: R 1,554,103) is leased under a finance lease. Refer to note 9

9. Intangible assets

Computer software, other

		2015			2014		
	Cost	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	
Computer software, other	4 093 764	(1 154 789)	2 938 975	1 760 263	(777 032)	983 231	

Reconciliation of intangible assets - 2015

Computer software, other	Opening balance 983 231	Additions 2 333 500	Amortisation (377 756)	Total 2 938 975
Reconciliation of intangible assets - 2014				
	Opening halance	Additions	Amortisation	Total

982 009

288 764

(287542)

983 231

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
10. Finance lease obligation		
Minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	1 448 725	616 299
- in second to fifth year inclusive	1 760 301	1 129 882
	3 209 026	1 746 181
less: future finance charges	(591 191)	(339 130)
Present value of minimum lease payments	2 617 835	1 407 051
Present value of minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	1 064 327	428 496
- in second to fifth year inclusive	1 553 508	978 555
	2 617 835	1 407 051
Non-current liabilities	2 187 326	978 555
Current liabilities	430 509	428 496
	2 617 835	1 407 051

It is the municipality's policy to lease certain office equipment under finance leases.

The average lease term is 3 years and the average effective borrowing rate was 15% to 30% (2014: 15% to 21%).

Interest rates are linked to prime to prime at the contract date. All leases have fixed repayments and no arrangements have been entered into for contingent rent.

11. Payables from exchange transactions

Trade payables	10 183 927	5 036 677
Medical aid - PJ Du Plessis	8 550	-
Accrued leave pay	3 209 554	2 714 121
Accrued bonus	833 356	782 535
Other payables	824 695	684 142
Unallocated deposits - RSC levies	1 516 161	1 516 161
Councillors pension funds	4 020	4 020
UIF over deducted from employees	191 563	191 563
Councillors salaries under payments	5 535	5 535
Legal fees - RSC Levies	15 049 155	15 049 155
Compensation commissioner	1 849 282	1 355 371
Bank transactions outstanding at year end	-	5 881
Metropolitan	251	251
	33 676 049	27 345 412

Unallocated deposits relate to RSC levies receipts received from unidentified levy payers. These amounts are to be transferred to the municipal attorney to be held in trust until all legal matters pending between the RSC levy payers association and the municipality are resolved.

12. Unspent conditional grants

Unspent conditional grants and receipts comprises of:

Unspent conditions	al grants and	receipts	S
Rural Roads Assets	Management	System	Grant

214 983

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
12. Unspent conditional grants (continued)		
Movement during the year		
Balance at the beginning of the year	_	2 901 068
Additions during the year	21 377 256	3 198 049
Income recognition during the year	(21 162 273)	(6 099 117)
	214 983	-

The nature and extent of government grants and their conditions, restrictions and other contingencies attached to these government grants have to be fulfilled and hence recognised in the financial statements as unspent conditional grants

See note 14 for reconciliation of grants from National/Provincial Government.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014

13. Provision for long service awards

Long service awards

Valuation method

The projected unit credit funding method has been used to determine the past-service liabilities at the valuation date and the projected annual expense in the year following the valuation date. The expected value of each employee's long service award is projected to the next interval by allowing for future growth.

The calculated award values are then discounted at the assumed discount interest rate to the date of calculation, allowing for mortality, retirements and withdrawals from service.

Sensitivity analysis

The valuation is only an estimate of the cost of providing Long service award benefits. The actual cost to the Municipality will be dependent on actual future levels of assumed variables and the demographic profile of the membership.

In order to illustrate the sensitivity of the results to changes in certain key variables, the municipality has recalculated the liability using the following assumptions:

- 20% increase/decrease in the assumed level of withdrawal rates (2015: 20%);
- 1% increase/decrease in the Normal Salary cost inflation (2015: 1%)

Withdrawal rate

Deviations from the assumed level of withdrawal experience of the eligible employees will have a large impact on the actual cost to the municipality. If the actual rates of withdrawal turns out to be higher than the rates assumed in the valuation basis, then the cost to the municipality in the form of benefits will reduce and vice versa.

We have illustrated the effect of higher and lower withdrawal rates by increasing and decreasing the withdrawal rates by 20%. The effect in the current year (2015) is as follows

	- 20% Withdrawal	Valuation Assumption	+20% Withdrawal
Total accrued liability	rate 2,380,000	2,206,000	rate 2,055,000
Current service cost	418,000	375,000	338,000
Interest cost	230,000	212,000	197,000
The effect in the prior year (2014) is as follow	-20% Withdrawal rate	Valuation Assumption	+20% Withdrawal rate
Total accrued liability	2.126.000	1,968,000	1,831,000
Current service cost	380,000	340,000	340,000
Interest cost	167,000	153,000	141,000

Normal salary inflation

The cost of the long service award is dependent on the increase in the annual salaries paid to employees. The rate at which salaries increase will thus have a direct effect on the liability of future retirees.

We have tested the effect of a 1% p.a. change in the normal salary inflation assumption. The effect in the current year 2015 is as follows:

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand		2015	2014
13. Provision for long service awards (continued)	-1% Normal	Valuation	+1% Normal
	salary inflation	Assumption	salary inflation
Total accrued liability	2,051,000	2,206,000	2,379,000
Current service cost	346,000	375,000	408,000
Interest costs	197,000	212,000	229,000
The effect in the prior year (2014) is as follows:			
	-1% Normal salary inflation	Valuation Assumption	+1% Normal salary inflation
Total accrued liability	1,824,000	1,968,000	2,129,000
Current service cost	312,000	340,000	372,000
Interest costs	140,000	153,000	167,000

Change in the value of the obligation

GRAP 25 deals with the recognition of the cost of employee benefits, on an accruals basis, in the municipality accounts.

If we assume that the long service award benefit policy of the municipality remains unchanged over the next 36 months, a projection of the municipality's unfunded accrued liability and future service cost can be seen as follows:

	Current valuation date 30 June 2015	1 Year following the valuation date	2 Years following the valuation date	3 Years following the valuation date
PV of the obligation as the previous valuation date	1 968 000	2 206 000	2 753 000	3 090 445
Current service cost	340 000	375 000	383 671	394 142
Interest cost	153 000	212 000	255 784	288 303
Benefits paid	(152 000)	(40 000)	(302 000)	(277 000)
Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(103 000)		<u>-</u>	
	2 206 000	2 753 000	3 090 455	3 495 890
	Current valuation date	1 Year following the	2 Years following the	3 Years following the
	30 June 2015	valuation date	valuation date	valuation date
Liability recognised in the balance sheet	2 206 000	2 753 000	3 090 455	3 495 890
Current service cost in the income statement	340 000	375 000	383 671	394 142
Interest cost in the income statement	153 000	212 000	255 784	288 303
Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(103 000)	_	-	-
	2 596 000	3 340 000	3 729 910	4 178 335

The amount recognised in the statement of financial position are as follow:

Carring value		
Balance at the beginning	(1 968 000)	(1 942 000)
Current service	(340 000)	(325 000)
Interest cost	(153 000)	(149 000)
Benefits paid	83 491	236 076
Actuarial gain / (loss)	171 509	211 924
	(2 206 000)	(1 968 000)

Net expense recognised in the statement in the statement of financial performance

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
13. Provision for long service awards (continued)		
Current service cost Interest cost Actuarial (gain) / losses	340 000 153 000 (171 509)	325 000 149 000 (211 924)
	321 491	262 076

Key assumptions used

Discount Rate

GRAP 25 defines the determination of the Discount rate assumption to be used as follows: "The discount rate that reflects the time value of money is best approximated by reference to market yields at the reporting date on government bonds. Where there is no deep market in government bonds with a sufficiently long maturity to match the estimated maturity of all the benefit payments, an entity uses current market rates of the appropriate term to discount shorter term payments, and estimates the discount rate for longer maturities by extrapolating current market rates along the yield curve."

Our discount rate was therefore set as the yield of the R208 South African government bond as at the valuation date. The actual yield on the R208 bond was sourced from the RMB Global Markets website on the 30th of June 2014.

Normal Salary Inflation Rate

We have derived the underlying future rate of consumer price index inflation (CPI inflation) from the relationship between current conventional bond yields (R208) and current index-linked bond yields (R197). The actual yield on the R208 and R197 government bonds was sourced from the RMB Global Markets website. Our assumed rate of salary inflation was set as the assumed value of CPI plus 1%. The salaries used in the valuation include an assumed increase on 01 July 2014 of 6.79%. The next salary increase was assumed to take place on 01 July 2015.

Average Retirement Age

The average retirement age for all active employees was assumed to be 63 years. This assumption implicitly allows for illhealth and early retirements.

Normal Retirement Age

The normal retirement age (NRA) for all active employees was assumed to be 65 years.

Mortality Rates

Mortality before retirement has been based on the SA 85-90 mortality tables. These are the most commonly used tables in the industry.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
14. Government grants and subsidies		
Operating grants		
Equitable share	86 946 000	81 281 000
Financial Management Grant	1 250 000	1 250 000
Department of Public Works Programme	1 282 000	1 000 000
Rural Roads Assets Management System Grant	1 670 017	-
LG Seta skills development grant	84 872	58 049
Service SETA Grant	4 041 450	
Municipal System Improvement Grant	934 000	890 202
COGTA - Legal Support	12 626 156	4 707 705
COGTA - Provincial Infrastructure Grant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 727 735
	108 834 495	86 206 986
Equitable Share		
Amount recieved per DORA	86 946 000	81 281 000
Amount actually recieved	(86 946 000)	(80 002 000)
Deducted from outstanding conditional grant (FMG)	-	(35 012)
Deducted from oustanding conditional grant (EPWP)	-	(1 138 119)
Over deduction on current year equitable share per DORA	<u>-</u>	(105 869)
		-
Rural Roads Assets Management System Grant		
Current-year receipts	1 885 000	-
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(1 670 017)	
	214 983	
Department of Public Works Programme		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	1 138 119
Current-year receipts	1 282 000	1 000 000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(1 282 000)	(1 000 000)
Deducted from equitable share	<u>'</u>	(1 138 119)
	-	-
Finance Management Grant		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	35 012
Current-year receipts	1 250 000	1 250 000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(1 250 000)	(1 250 000)
Deducted from equitable share	· -	(35 012)
	-	
Municipal System Improvement Grant (MSIG)		
Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	202
Current-year receipts	934 000	890 000
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(934 000)	(890 202)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(55.55)	(3)

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
14. Government grants and subsidies (continued)		
COGTA - Provincial Infrastructure Grant		
Balance unspent at beginning of year Conditions met - transferred to revenue		1 727 735 (1 727 735)
LG Seta - skills development grant		
Current-year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	84 872 (84 872)	58 049 (58 049)
COGTA - Legal Support		
Current-year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	12 626 156 (12 626 156)	-
Service SETA Grant		
Current-year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	4 041 450 (4 041 450)	<u>-</u>
		-
15. Investment revenue		
Finance income Bank	2 069 979	2 473 286
The amount included in Investment revenue arising from exchange transactions ar	mounted to R 2,069,979.	
16. Sundry income		
Tender documents Interest received from SARS	56 740 -	51 803 196 251
	56 740	248 054
17. Impairment of receivables		
Contribution to doubtful debt allowance	<u> </u>	48 155
18. Depreciation and amortisation		
Property, plant and equipment Intangible assets	2 432 243 377 767	866 580 287 541
	2 810 010	1 154 121

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Sports development programme

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
19. Finance costs		
Finance leases	371 679	41 997
Total interest expense, calculated using the effective interest rate, on findeficit amounted to R 371,679 (2014: R 41,997).	nancial instruments not at fair value thro	ugh surplus or
20. General expenses		
Advertising	452 192	604 448
Auditors remuneration	2 726 601	2 363 097
Bank charges	71 501	47 091
Cleaning	62 773	107 528
Computer expenses	1 642 572	1 044 331
Disaster intervention	1 739 531	
Entertainment	1 032 818	174 201
Insurance	282 341	213 818
Marketing	141 199	237 924
Magazines, books and periodicals	638 371	774 658
Motor vehicle expenses	994 806	331 156
District municipality community projects	3 364 029	1 180 855
EPWP incentive grant expenditure	3 943 691	2 291 060
Placement fees	21 696	12 697
Printing and stationery	489 336	511 524
Finalisation of credible IDP	84 694	109 368
Subscriptions and membership fees	1 065 860	537 291
Telephone and fax	879 135	752 644
Training	2 181 992	853 281
Travel and subsistence	3 818 001	1 866 745
Legal fees	16 840 718	1 543 781
Service SETA Learnership	3 807 000	-
Minor expenditure incurred	268 613	116 078
Public participation	217 154	292 291
MFMA support programme	503 098	576 658
District audit committee	163 877	112 580
Sampling of food and water	317 660	237 002
Bursary fund	1 434 946	1 078 355
On a standard and a s	470.000	000 500

479 268

49 665 473

396 590

18 367 052

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

	2015	2014
21. Remuneration related cost		
Basic	34 304 378	29 961 070
Bonus	1 785 441	1 556 748
Medical aid - company contributions	3 277 353	2 944 463
UIF	187 727	166 728
SDL	457 074	339 994
Other payroll levies	966 323	244 061
Leave pay provision charge	495 433	46 239
Overtime payments	262 785	51 752
Long-service awards	238 000	262 076
Car allowance	4 405 210	4 174 868
Housing benefits and allowances	151 606 358 796	180 102 373 953
Cellphone allowance Leave redemption	1 057 850	648 630
Leave redemphor	47 947 976	40 950 684
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Ms. TPM Lebenya		
Annual Remuneration	767 119	480 500
Car allowance	212 667	170 833
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	58 092	8 099
Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance	421 293	74 789
Cellphone allowance	15 600	12 000
	4 474 774	740 224
	1 474 771	746 221
Ms. TPM Lebenya was appointed as the Accounting Officer and commenced her term		
Ms. TPM Lebenya was appointed as the Accounting Officer and commenced her term Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi		
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration	n of office from 1 April 2015	5. 535 391
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance	n of office from 1 April 2015	5. 535 391 180 000
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510	5.
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance Cellphone allowance	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance Cellphone allowance Mr. B Molotsi was appointed as the accounting officer on 1 November 2012 and resig Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - Mr. HI Lebusa	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000 1 166 922
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance Cellphone allowance Mr. B Molotsi was appointed as the accounting officer on 1 November 2012 and resig Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - Mr. HI Lebusa Annual Remuneration	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283 aned on the 03 November 2	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000 1 166 922
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance Cellphone allowance Mr. B Molotsi was appointed as the accounting officer on 1 November 2012 and resig Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - Mr. HI Lebusa Annual Remuneration Car allowance	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283 aned on the 03 November 2	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000 1 166 922
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance Cellphone allowance Mr. B Molotsi was appointed as the accounting officer on 1 November 2012 and resig Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - Mr. HI Lebusa Annual Remuneration Car allowance Performance Bonuses	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283 aned on the 03 November 2	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000 1 166 922 2014.
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance Cellphone allowance Mr. B Molotsi was appointed as the accounting officer on 1 November 2012 and resig Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - Mr. HI Lebusa Annual Remuneration Car allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283 aned on the 03 November 2	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000 1 166 922 2014.
Remuneration of Accounting Officer - Mr. B Molotsi Annual Remuneration Car allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance Cellphone allowance Mr. B Molotsi was appointed as the accounting officer on 1 November 2012 and resig Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - Mr. HI Lebusa Annual Remuneration Car allowance Performance Bonuses	236 231 60 000 24 000 9 542 198 510 6 000 534 283 aned on the 03 November 2 798 685 180 000 91 321 22 843	535 391 180 000 72 000 18 474 343 057 18 000

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014	
21. Remuneration related cost (continued)			
Remuneration of Executive Manager Corporate Service - Mr. SK Khote			
Annual Remuneration	718 050	512 500	
Car allowance	205 000	170 833	
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	11 665	8 099	
Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance	213 065	37 178	
Cellphone	14 400	12 000	

Mr. SK Khote - executive manager corporate service, was appointed as the acting municipal manager from 1 November 2014 to the 30 March 2015

1 162 180

740 610

Remuneration of Acting Director: Community Services - Ms. EN Mtimkulu

Annual Remuneration	528 420	_
Car allowance	129 666	-
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	145 119	-
Travel, motor car, accomodation, subsistence and other allowance	300 838	_
Cellphone	12 000	-
	1 116 043	-

Ms. EN Mtimkulu - manager rural and agriculture development, was appointed as the acting Director; Community Services from 1 April 2015 to the 30 June 2015.

Remuneration of councillors

Executive Mayor - Ms. M Vilakazi	871 854	568 662
Executive Mayor - Dr. BE Mzangwa	-	885 890
Chief Whip - Mr. MM Radebe	624 446	581 530
Speaker - Mr. MS Maduna	384 905	365 829
Chairperson MPAC - Ms. M Motloung	398 303	-
Mayoral Committee Members	4 816 270	4 394 074
Councillors	2 270 461	2 247 941
	9 366 239	9 043 926

In-kind benefits

The Executive Mayor, Speaker, Chief whip, MPAC Chairperson and Mayoral Committee Members are full-time. The Executive Mayor, Speaker, Chief whip, MPAC Chairperson is provided with an office and secretarial support at the cost of the Council. The Mayoral Committee Members are provided with pool secretarial support and offices at the cost of council.

The Executive Mayor and the Speaker each have the use of separate Council owned vehicles for official duties.

The Executive Mayor and Speaker has full-time driver / bodyguard.Additional text

22. Repairs and maintenance

Maintenance buildings	240 236	421 319
Maintenance general	1 022 691	617 815
Maintenance rental equipment	431 511	450 933
	1 694 438	1 490 067

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
23. Cash (used in) generated from operation		
Deficit	(21 876 418)	(4 864 281)
Adjustments for:		
Depreciation and amortisation	2 810 009	1 154 121
Loss on sale of assets and liabilities	(70 130)	(15 848)
Finance costs - Finance leases	371 679	41 997
Impairment deficit	_	48 155
Movements in retirement benefit assets and liabilities	238 000	26 000
Changes in working capital:		
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	(704 925)	(248 877)
Payables from exchange transactions	6 330 636	(303 658)
VAT	(78 342)	(4 009 071)
Unspent conditional grants	214 983	(2 901 068)
	(12 764 508)	(11 072 530)

24. Financial liability by category

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the column items below:

Finance lease - Non-current Finance lease - current Payables from exchange transactions	Financial liabilities at amortised cost 2 187 326 430 509 33 676 049	Total 2 187 326 430 509 33 676 049
r ayables from exchange transactions	36 293 884	36 293 884
2014	Financial liabilities at	Total
Finance lease - Non-current Finance lease - current Payables from exchange transactions	amortised cost 978 555 428 496 27 345 412	978 555 428 496 27 345 412
	28 752 463	28 752 463

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
25. Commitments		
Commitments in respect of operating expenditure		
Approved and contracted for - Budget rolled forward		
Bolata Gravel Road Upgrade	-	2 527
Brick Block Project - Qholaqhwe village	117 764	979 438
Oxidation Ponds - Memel / Zamani	135 000	135 000
Upgrading of gravel road - Petsana	16 948	126 728
Compilation of annual financial statements	-	782 496
Renovation of Community Services Office	-	24 355
 Supply and delivery of Laptop computers 	-	32 000
Supply and delivery of vehicles	-	171 308
Performance management electronic system	-	175 675
 Supply and installation of Biometric system 	-	404 057
Reveiw of Municipal strategy	-	493 624
 Employee wellness in the municipality 	-	471 325
 Completion of outfall sewer, rising main, inlet. E & M Works 	152 447	2 296 970
 Design and installation of solor street lights in MAP and Phumele 	ela 118 372	469 843
 Development of rural road asset management system (RRAM fo 	r TMDM) 4 502 910	6 387 910
 Investigation of Irregular, Fruitless and Wasteful expenditure for 	period -	112 860
2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13		
 Supply and delivery of office furniture 	-	305 217
 Development and implementation of disaster recovery plan 	-	2 955 461
Office automation equipment lease	1 403 249	-
ICT Equipment leasing	3 294 549	-
Internet Service Provider (ISP)	1 653 300	-
Disaster Communication System	2 833 570	-
 Upgrading of brick block paving phase 2 (Rietz) 	318 381	-
 Upgrading of brick block paving (Lindley) 	2 866 698	-
 Upgrading of brick block paving (Ladybrand) 	480 698	-
Disaster Recovery Plan and hosting	3 729 000	
	21 622 886	16 326 794
Total operational commitments		
Already contracted for and authorised by accounting officer	21 622 886	
This committed expenditure relates to various projects and will be fina	nced by available bank facilities.	
Operating leases - as lessee (expense)		
Minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	394 153	173 945

Operating lease payments represent rentals payable by the municipality for both the Mayoral and Speaker's vehicles leased from Department of Roads and Transport.

Leases are negotiated for a period of three years. The daily and kilometer tariff is reviewed annually. The tariff charged to the Municipality will at all times be on standard tariff scales applicable to other government departments. Currently avarage monthly rentals repayments are R 30,000 including VAT

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
rigaree irritaria	2010	2017

26. Contingencies

MSMM Attorney vs Municipality

The municipality has a contingent liability of R2 000 000 as a result of a legal dispute between the municipality and MSMM Attorneys. The background and details of this contingent liability are provided below.

MSMM Attorneys have issued summons for an amount of R2 000 000 against the municipality. According to MSMM Attorneys this amount is for legal services they rendered for collecting outstanding levy debt for the municipality based on their arrangement with Thakangoaha Investment CC. The municipality has appointed Podbielski Mhlambi Inc Attorneys to defend the matter.

When the legislation on RSC levies was terminated on 30 June 2006, the municipality appointed Thakangoaha Investments CC in November 2007 to identify the outstanding levy payers and recover the outstanding levies due to the municipality. For this purpose, Thakangoaha appointed MSMM Attorneys to take the necessary legal action against these outstanding levy payers. Thakangoaha was to receive R150 for each of the then 13 000 outstanding cases, and also 20% of the total amount to be recovered from the levy payers.

As at February 2008, in an attempt to recover outstanding levies, MSMM issued 6 000 summons to levy payers. The municipality received numerous counter claims from levy payers. According to levy payers, summons issued did not comply with legal requirements. The municipality then sought legal opinion, which confirmed that summons issued did not comply with legal requirements.

MSMM Attorneys are now suing the municipality for not paying fees for legal services rendered by them based on instruction from Thakangoaha Investment CC. The municipality will defend this R2 000 000 claim by MSMM Attorneys based on legal advice received. It is expected that the court hearing for this matter will take place in 2015.

The municipality estimates to incur legal cost of R150 000 to defend the above matter.

Infrastructure Finance Corporation vs Municipality

The municipality has a contingent liability of R2 269 693 as a result of a legal dispute between the municipality and Infrastructure Finance Corporation. The background and details of this contingent liability are provided below.

The municipality purchased copiers from Nashua Bethlehem. Nashua Bethlehem erroneously made use of two financing houses to finance the purchase of these office machines. Subsequently the municipality paid both financing houses for these copiers. It was only after a year that they realised payments were being made in duplicate. The municipality stopped all payments (to both financing houses) after the nature of the transaction was discovered.

Podbielski Mhlambi Inc was appointed by the municipality with an instruction to recover the overpayment from the financing house and it was established that they have been liquidated. The Municipality's attorney advised the municipality not to attempt recovering the over payments as there were no sufficient funds available in the estate.

Infrastructure Finance Corporation is suing the municipality for the outstanding payments, which amount to R2 million.

The Municipality is currently defending the matter in the Free State High Court. It's expected that it will probably proceed to trial towards the end of 2015. It is expected that a further R200 000 legal costs will be incurred to bring this matter to completion.

Thakangoaha Investments vs Municipality

Thakangoaha Investments was originally awarded the tender relating to the collection of outstanding RSC levies in 2006/2007. Due to the unsuccessful attempts at collection of levies, incorrect legal practices followed and the legal nature of the RSC levies matter, the municipality had to terminate their contract and appoint Phodbielski Mhlambi Law Firm to correct the unlawful and unsuccessful attempts at collection made by Thakangoaha Investments and to proceed to defend the RSC levies matter in court.

Thakangoaha issued summon against the Municipality for an amount of R3 500 000 including interest and cost. The Municipality at that time failed to defend the matter. When the Deputy Sheriff attached the Municipality's property as a result of the judgement, the Municipality instructed Podbielski Mhlambi to apply for a Rescission of Judgement. This application was processed and the matter placed on the court-role.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014

26. Contingencies (continued)

The municipality has also filed a counter claim of R2 000 000 against Thakangoaha Investments. It is expected that a further R200 000 legal costs will be incurred to bring this matter to completion.

Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys vs Thabo Mofutsanyana:

Podbielski Mhlambi was appointed to take over from Thakangoaha Investments, after the termination of their contract to collection of the outstanding RSC levies, refer "Thakangoaha Investments vs Municipality" above for further details. The firm understands that there are 8000 outstanding defended cases before various magistrates' courts regarding the RSC levies. The firm does not have sight of these files as Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys has placed a lien on the files until the client pays their claim of R15 049 155 for fees and disbursements.

The outstanding legal fees of R15 049 155 has been raised as a liability. The municipality estimates that approximately R5 000 000 in legal fees to its attorneys Sunil Narian Incorporated will be incurred in defending this action as Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys have issued a letter of demand for the recovery of its fees.

Housing guarantees

A contingent liability exists for the municipality to pay the guarantee amount in the event of the employee not being able to settle their bond / default on payment.

The Municipality has provided housing guarantee's to the employees listed below. The maximum amount each qualifying employee may receive is R85 000.

Name of employee	Effective date	Guarantee amount
Mazibuko Mwelase	25/05/2005	17 000
Mollo Ngobese	22/03/2006	17 000
Motloung Mohoabadi	04/10/2006	17 000
Moloi Khesa	25/05/2005	17 000
Moloi Materonko	08/10/2002	13 000
Motloung Sylvia	30/01/2007	17 000
Mthombeni Sthembiso	01/10/2004	14 000
Dusse Ronald	01/07/2006	17 000
Majoro Matsiliso	01/07/2006	17 000
Swart Pierre	01/07/2006	17 000
Viljoen Johannes	01/07/2006	17 000
Du toit Pieter	01/07/2006	17 000
Malan M.P	01/07/2006	17 000
Oosthuizen Corrie	01/07/2006	17 000
Mani Koahela	01/07/2009	17 000
		248 000

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014

27. Related parties

Parties are considered to be related if one party has the ability to control the other party or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial and operating decisions or if the related party entity and another entity are subject to common control.

Related parties include:

- entities that are directly or indirectly controlled by the municipality;
- associates
- joint ventures and management:
- key management personnel, and close members of the family of key management personnel;
- entities in which a substantial ownership interest is held, directly or indirectly, by key management personnel or entities over which such a person is able to exercise significant influence.
- entities that control or exert significant influence over the municipality

The economic entity's key management personnel includes the Municipal Manager, Chief Financial Officer and all other managers reporting directly to the Municipal Manager or as designated by the Municipal Manager.

In terms of the MFMA, the municipality may not grant loans to its councillors, management, staff and public with effect from 1 July 2004.

The only related party transactions for the current financial year were with key management personnel. Refer to Note 26 for detailed disclosure.

28. Risk management

Financial risk management

The municipality's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks, market risk, credit risk and liquidity risk.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the economic entity will encounter difficulty in meeting the obligations associated with its financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

At 30 June 2015	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Trade and other payables	33 171 182	- ,	-	_
Gross finance lease	1 448 725	1 345 635	414 666	-
At 30 June 2014	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 vears	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Payables from exchange transactions	23 401 598	2 years -	J years	_
Gross finance lease obligation	616 299	616 299	513 583	_

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014

28. Risk management (continued)

Credit risk

Credit risk consists mainly of cash deposits, cash equivalents, derivative financial instruments and trade debtors. The municipality only deposits cash with major banks with high quality credit standing and limits exposure to any one counter-party.

Financial assets which exposed the economic entity to credit risk at year end were as follows:

Financial instrument	2015	2014
ABSA Bank - Cheque account	769 756	2 591 555
ABSA Bank - Call account	-	675 362
ABSA Bank - Fixed deposit	1 731 635	20 000 000
ABSA Bank - Fixed deposit	198 531	327 646
First National Bank - Fixed deposit	3 816 442	3 604 912
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	1 790 799	1 243 464
VAT receivables	5 006 459	4 928 117
Receivables from non-exchange transaction - RSC Levies	7 212	7 212

The balances represent the maximum exposure to credit risk.

Market risk

Cash flow and fair value interest rate risk

The municipality's interest rate risk arises from finance lease liabilities and short term investments. Finance leases and short term investments at variable rates expose the municipality to cash flow interest rate risk. The sensitivity analysis for cash flow and fair value interest rate risk to which the municipality is exposed at the end of the reporting period is not considered material on profit and loss and were therefore not disclosed. During 2015 and 2014, the municipality's borrowings and investments at variable rates were denominated in the Rand.

29. Going concern

The financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies applicable to a going concern. This basis presumes that funds will be available to finance future operations and that the realisation of assets and settlement of liabilities, contingent obligations and commitments will occur in the ordinary course of business.

30. Events after the reporting date

The were no material events to report after the reporting date.

31. Unauthorised expenditure

Management performed a review of transactions and identified none of the transactions to be unauthorised expenditure in accordance to the guidelines set per Chapter 1 of the MFMA.

32. Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Opening balance	1 847 548	1 323 279
Fruitless and wasteful expenditure - current year	-	308 509
Fruitless and wasteful expenditure - prior year indentified in the current year	-	215 760
Less: Fruitless expenditure written-off as irrecoverable	(1 847 548)	_
		1 847 548

Management has performed a review of transactions and none of the transaction to be fruitless and wasteful expenditure in accordance to the guidelines set per Chapter 1 of the MFMA.

Municipal Public Account Committee convene to analyse fruitless and wasteful expenditure incurred, upon the reccomodations provided by the committee to council, a council resloution was passed on 05 August 2015 writting off fruitless and wasteful expenditure as irricoverable as disclosed above.

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
33. Irregular expenditure		
Opening balance	43 318 206	46 092 973
Incorrect classification of irregular expenditure - prior periods	-	(2 774 767)
Less: Irregular expenditure written-off as irrecoverable	(43 318 206)	_
		43 318 206

Management has performed a review of transactions and none of the transaction to be irregular expenditure in accordance to the guidelines set per Chapter 1 of the MFMA.

Municipal Public Account Committee convene to analyse irregular expenditure incurred, upon the reccomodations provided by the committee to council, a council resloution was passed on 05 August 2015 writting off irregular expenditure as irricoverable as disclosed above.

34. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act

Contributions to organised local government

Opening balance	500 000	450 000
Current year subscription / fee	500 000	500 000
Amount paid - current year	(500 000)	(450 000)
	500 000	500 000

Material losses through criminal conduct

The municipality incurred no material losses through criminal conduct during the reporting period.

Audit fees

Opening balance Current year subscription / fee Amount paid - current year	2 726 601 (2 632 514) 94 087	109 570 2 709 150 (2 818 720)
PAYE and UIF		
Opening balance Current year subscription / fee Amount paid - current year	684 142 9 869 861 (9 729 741) 824 262	620 355 8 652 459 (8 588 672) 684 142
Pension and Medical Aid Deductions	,	
Current year subscription / fee Amount paid - current year	6 946 690 (6 946 690)	6 398 384 (6 398 384)
VAT		
VAT receivable	5 006 459	4 928 117

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
rigares in realia	2013	2014

34. Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act (continued)

Supply chain management regulations

In terms of section 36 of the Municipal Supply Chain Management Regulations any deviation from the Supply Chain Management Policy needs to be approved/condoned by the Municipal Manager and noted by Council. The expenses incurred as listed hereunder have been condoned.

	7 667 584	10 895 079
Procurement of Legal services	518 671	1 493 308
Procurement of training services	317 357	381 848
Procurement of other goods and services	6 102 507	7 578 120
Procurement of accomodation	-	392 582
Procurement of advertising	729 049	1 049 221
Details of deviation		

35. Municipal office occupation

The municipal head office situated at 1 Mampoi Street, Old parliament Building, Witsieshoek. The building is leased from Free State Department of Public Works for no rental consideration.

36. Prior period errors

During the current financial year, management corrected an error in relation to salary creditors. The salary creditors amounting to R 33,360 which were paid in 2012/13 were incorrectly not removed from the creditors listing in the 2013/14 annual financial statement. The impact of this disclosure reduces balances for payables from exchange transaction.

During the current financial year, management corrected an error in relation to retention creditors. The rentetion creditor amounting to R 480 518 which was outstanding from 2009/10 financial year, was incorrectly removed from creditors listing on 2010/11 financial statement. The impact of this disclosure increase balances for payables from exchange transaction.

During the financial year, management reviewed the remaining useful lives of all municipal assets. The review necessitated the adjustment and restatement of useful live to 41 assets (3 motor vehicles, 38 office equipment items) the useful lives had to be restated retrospectively, i.e. increased, as they had been fully depreciated whilst still being in use. The effect of the retrospective restatement was a decrease in the 2014/2015 opening accumulated depreciation (2013/2014 closing accumulated depreciation) amounting to R 392 280 (motor vehicles: R 227 128, office equipment: R 165 152)

During the current financial year, management reviewed the remaining useful life of the financial management module (intangible asset) as it is still in use but has been amortized fully. The useful life was restated retrospectively, i.e. increased, to ensure that there will be annual amortization until the system is replaced in a couple of years' time. The effect of the retrospective restatement was a decrease in the 2014/2015 opening accumulated amortization (2013/2014 closing accumulated amortization) amounting to R 734 564.

The correction of the error(s) results in adjustments as follows:

Statement of financial position Rention creditor - payables from exchange transaction Salary creditor - payables from exchange transation Opening Accumulated Surplus or Deficit	- - -	(480 518) 33 360 115
37. Contracted services		
Review of Strategic Objective Contracted Financial Support Performance Management System	484 000 917 963 1 273 949	500 000 802 830 906 006
	2 675 912	2 208 836

Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2015	2014
38. Grants and subsidies paid		
Other subsidies Local government assistance - Own funding COGTA Provincial Infrustructure Grant	17 981 884	17 640 289 1 727 735
OOOTAT TOVINGIAL IIII USUUCUITE OTATI	17 981 884	19 368 024
39. Revenue		
Sundry income Interest received - investment Government grants & subsidies	56 740 2 069 979 108 834 496	248 054 2 473 286 86 206 986
	110 961 215	88 928 326
The amount included in revenue arising from exchanges of goods or services are as follows:		
Sundry income Interest received - investment	56 740 2 069 979	248 054 2 473 286
	2 126 719	2 721 340
The amount included in revenue arising from non-exchange transactions is as follows:		
Transfer revenue Government grants & subsidies	108 834 496	86 206 986

40. Budget differences

Material differences between budget and actual amounts

THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

Notes to the Financial Statements Figures in Rand

40. Budget differences (continued)

- 39.1. Sundry income the actual revenue over the final budget indicates a variation decline of more than 99%, these was due to inccoret treatment of surplus cash errorneously included in the budget.
- 39.2. Interest received on investment the actual revenue over the final budget indicates a variation decrease of 18.6%, these
- 39.3. Remuneration of councillors the actual expenditure over the final budget indicated a variation increase of 11%, this was 39.3. Kemuneration of counciliors — the actual expenditure over the final pudget indicated a variation increase of 1.1%, additionant approval of the of Remuneration of Public Office Bearers Act, which was only approved after the budget
- 39.4. Depreciation and amortisation the actual expenditure over the final budget indicates a variation increase of 42%, these was as the results of additional assets bought during the current year, the timing of purchases which was earlier than the planned date these has led to an increase in the depreciation value.
- 39.5. Lease rentals on operating lease the operating lease over the final budget indicates a variation increase of 66% due to 39.5. Lease rentals on operating lease — the operating lease over the πhai budget indicates a variation increase the timing difference of the lease of the speaker's vehicle, which the contract was concluded late during the year.
- 39.6. Repairs and maintenance the actual expenditure over the final budget indicate a variation increase of 14%, these was 39.6. Kepairs and maintenance — the actual expenditure over the final budget indicate a variation increase of 14%, these was due to repairs and maintenance on motor vehicles that did not have maintenance plan nor warranty and repairs on the building.
- 39.7. Contracted service the actual contracted service expenditure over the final budget indicates a variation decrease of
- 39.8. Transfers and subsidies the actual expenditure on transfer and subsidies over the final budget indicate a variation orders approved on the projects in terms of Control Condition of Controls. 39.8. Fransiers and subsidies - the actual expenditure on transfer and subsidies over the final pudget indicate a variation orders approved on the projects in terms of General Condition of Contract.
- 39.9. Receivables from non-exchange transactions the actual receivables from non-exchange transactions over the final budget indicates a variation increase of 57%, these was due to Service SETA Grant outstanding at year end and overpayment
- 39.10. VAT Receivables the actual VAT receivable over the final budget indicates a variation increase of 100% these was 39.10. VAT Receivables — the actual VAT receivable over the final budget indicates a variation increase of 100% due to the fact that VAT refunds for the periods February 2015 up to June 2015 are still outstanding from SARS.
- 39.11. Cash and cash equivalents the actual cash and cash equivalents over the final budget indicates a variation decrease of 31%, this was due to withdrawals on investments towards the end of the financial year.
- 39.12. Intangible assets the actual intangible assets over the final budget indicates a variation increase of 730%, this was 39.12. Intangible assets – the actual intangible assets over the final buoget indicates a variation increase of 750%, this was due to procurement of IEMAS (SCM Management System) bought during the current year, the timing of purchases which was
- 39.13. Finance lease obligation (current) the actual finance lease obligation (current) over the final budget indicates a sa, is, ninance lease obligation (current) — the actual infance lease obligation (current) over the linal budget indicates a variation decrease of 26%, this was due to to additional lease on computers and office automation entered into in the current
- 39.14. Payables from exchange transactions the actual Payables from exchange transactions over the final budget indicates 39.14. Payables from exchange transactions — the actual Payables from exchange transactions over the final a variation increase of 47%, this was due to outstanding invoice on construction contract and IEMAS system
- 39.15. Unspent conditional grants and receipts the actual unspent conditional grants and receipts over the final budget 39.15. Unspent conditional grants and receipts – the actual unspent conditional grants and receipts indicates a variation increase of 100%, this was due to late appointment of consultant on the project.
- 39.16. Employee benefit obligation the actual employee benefit obligation over the final budget indicates a variation increase of 12%, this was due to to incorrect budget estimate.